Universal Uncertainty Principle, Simultaneous Measurability, and Weak Values

Masanao Ozawa

Graduate School of Information Science, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8601, Japan

Abstract. In the conventional formulation, it is broadly accepted that simultaneous measurability and commutativity of observables are equivalent. However, several objections have been claimed that there are cases in which even nowhere commuting observables can be measured simultaneously. Here, we outline a new theory of simultaneous measurements based on a state-dependent formulation, in which nowhere commuting observables are shown to have simultaneous measurements in some states, so that the known objections to the conventional theory are theoretically justified. We also discuss new results on the relation between weak values and output probability distributions of simultaneous measurements.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the conventional formulation of quantum mechanics, it is broadly accepted that simultaneous measurability and commutativity of observables are equivalent. However, there have been known several objections on this statement. To eliminate an intermediate case in which the two observables commute on a subspace, we say that two observables are nowhere commuting, if they have no common eigenstate. Then, the objections generally state that there are cases in which even nowhere commuting observables are simultaneously measurable. In one case mentioned by Heisenberg [1], if at time 0 the object is prepared in an eigenstate of A and the observer actually measures the value of another observable B nowhere commuting with A, then the observer can know both the values of two observables A and B at time 0. In the other case mentioned by Schrödinger [2] following Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) [3], if at time 0 two particles, I and II, are in the EPR state, then the observer can measure the position of particle I and the momentum of particle II simultaneously at time 0, and the observer knows the momentum of particle I at time 0 due to the EPR correlation as well as the position of particle I at time 0 by the direct measurement. The above objections have been known for long time. However, no rigorous and general theory of simultaneous measurements has been known that puts those cases in the right place.

In this paper we shall outline a general theory of simultaneous measurements based on rigorous theory of quantum measurements [4]–[37]. In this general theory, simultaneous measurements of nowhere commuting observables are shown to be possible and the above two cases are included as typical cases. We also discuss several topics such as the relation between simultaneous measurability and commutativity in a state-dependent formulation, the characterization of simultaneously measurable observables, and the

universal uncertainty principle for approximate simultaneous measurements, including new results on the relation between weak values and output probability distributions of simultaneous measurements. Proofs of new theorems will be published elsewhere.

2. STATE-DEPENDENT COMMUTATIVITY

In this paper, we consider a quantum system **S** described by a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathscr{H} . A self-adjoint operator on \mathscr{H} is called an *observable* and a unit vector in \mathscr{H} is called a *state*. For any observable A, we denote by $E^A(a)$ the projection of \mathscr{H} onto the subspace $\{|\psi\rangle \in \mathscr{H} \mid A \mid \psi\rangle = a \mid \psi\rangle\}$, which is the eigensubspace of A belonging to a, if a is an eigenvalue, or the 0-dimensional subspace $\{0\}$, otherwise. The *infimum* of two projections E and F is the projection $E \wedge F$ onto the intersection of ranges of E and E. Thus, the projection $E^A(a) \wedge E^B(b)$ is the projection onto the subspace $\{|\psi\rangle \in \mathscr{H} \mid A \mid \psi\rangle = a \mid \psi\rangle$ and $B \mid \psi\rangle = b \mid \psi\rangle\}$.

In the conventional formulation, it has been well accepted that two observables are simultaneously measurable if and only if they commute. However, this statement is based on the state-independent formulation. Several objections have been claimed that there are cases in which non-commuting observables can be measured simultaneously in particular states. Here, we consider the state-dependent formulation of simultaneous measurability, in which we shall determine the condition for two observables to be simultaneously measurable in a given state. In order to establish this, we start with introducing the state-dependent notion of commutativity of observables.

An *n*-dimensional probability distribution in x_1, \ldots, x_n is a family $\{p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mid (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$ of non-negative numbers such that $\sum_{x_1, \ldots, x_n} p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 1$, where \mathbf{R} denotes the set of real numbers. A *joint probability distribution (JPD)* of two observables A, B in a state $|\psi\rangle$ is a 2-dimensional probability distribution (PD) $\Pr\{A = a, B = b \mid |\psi\rangle\}$ in a, b satisfying the relation

$$\langle \psi | f(A,B) | \psi \rangle = \sum_{a,b} f(a,b) \Pr\{A = a, B = b | | \psi \rangle \}$$

for any polynomial f(A,B) in A and B. The JPD is inherently a state-dependent notion and plays a central role in our theory. Gudder [38] obtained the following characterization of the existence of JPDs.

Theorem 1 (Gudder 1968) For any observables A and B and any state $|\psi\rangle$, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) There exists a JPD of A, B in $|\psi\rangle$.
- (ii) For any $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ we have $[E^A(a), E^B(b)] |\psi\rangle = 0$.
- (iii) The state $|\psi\rangle$ is a superposition of common eigenstates of A and B.
- (iv) The family $p(a,b) = \langle \psi | E^A(a) \wedge E^B(b) | \psi \rangle$ is a 2-dimensional probability distribution in a,b, or equivalently $\sum_{a,b} \langle \psi | E^A(a) \wedge E^B(b) | \psi \rangle = 1$.

We say that two observables A and B commute in a state $|\psi\rangle$, in symbols $A \leftrightarrow_{|\psi\rangle} B$, if one of the above conditions holds. We also say that two observables A and B commute nowhere, if they commute in no states, or equivalently if they have no common eigenstates. Spin components in different directions commute nowhere.

3. JOINT QUASIPROBABILITY

Since JPDs do not always exist, it is convenient to introduce mathematical notions that exist for any pair of observables and reduce to the JPD for commuting pairs. Here, we discuss two versions, weak and strong, of JPDs.

The *strong joint quasiprobability distribution* of observables *A* and *B* in a state $|\psi\rangle$ is defined by

$$\Pr_{S}\{A=a,B=b || |\psi\rangle\} = \langle \psi | E^{A}(a) \wedge E^{B}(b) | \psi \rangle$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$. The *weak joint quasiprobability distribution* of observables A and B in a state $|\psi\rangle$ is defined by

$$\Pr_{W}\{A=a,B=b|||\psi\rangle\} = \left\langle \psi \left| E^{B}(a)E^{A}(b) \right| \psi \right\rangle$$

for any $a,b \in \mathbf{R}$; if the above relation defines a 2-dimensional probability distribution, we call it the *weak joint probability distribution*. The notion of strong joint quasiprobability distribution was originated by Birkhoff and von Neumann [39], who assigned the projection $E^A(a) \wedge E^B(b)$ to the proposition "A = a and B = b." The weak joint quasiprobability distribution was introduced by Kirkwood [40]. The above notions reduce to the JPD in the case where A and B commute in $|\psi\rangle$ as follows.

Theorem 2 For any observables A and B and any state $|\psi\rangle$, observables A and B commute in $|\psi\rangle$ if and only if the strong and the weak joint quasiprobability distributions coincide, i.e.,

$$\Pr_{S}\{A=a,B=b || |\psi\rangle\} = \Pr_{W}\{A=a,B=b || |\psi\rangle\}$$

for any $a, b \in R$.

From Theorem 1 (iv), the strong joint quasiprobability distribution of A, B in $|\psi\rangle$ is a 2-dimensional probability distribution if and only if A and B commute in $|\psi\rangle$. However, the weak joint quasiprobability distribution of A, B in $|\psi\rangle$ can be a 2-dimensional probability distribution even if A and B do not commute in $|\psi\rangle$ as discussed in Section 9.

4. CONDITIONAL QUASIPROBABILITY

In probability theory, the conditional probability is defined as the ratio of the joint probability relative to the marginal probability and the conditional expectation is defined as the expectation with respect to the conditional probability distribution. Analogously, conditional probability distribution and conditional expectation of quantum observables are given as follows. If observables A and B commute in a state $|\psi\rangle$ and satisfy $\Pr\{B=b\|\ |\psi\rangle\}>0$, we naturally introduce the *conditional probability distribution* of A given B=b as

$$\Pr\{A = a | B = b \| | \psi \rangle\} = \frac{\Pr\{A = a, B = b \| | \psi \rangle\}}{\Pr\{B = b \| | \psi \rangle\}}.$$

Then, the *conditional expectation* of A given B = b is defined by

$$\operatorname{Ex}\{A|B=b\|\ |\psi\rangle\} = \sum_{a} a \operatorname{Pr}\{A=a|B=b\|\ |\psi\rangle\}.$$

Now, we assume $\Pr\{B = b || |\psi\rangle\} > 0$. The strong and weak versions of conditional quasiprobability is introduced without assuming $A \leftrightarrow_{|\psi\rangle} B$ as follows. The *strong conditional quasiprobability distribution* of A given B = b is defined by

$$\Pr_{S}\{A=a|B=b\|\ |\psi\rangle\} = \frac{\Pr_{S}\{A=a,B=b\|\ |\psi\rangle\}}{\Pr\{B=b\|\ |\psi\rangle\}}.$$

Since the weak joint quasiprobability distribution is not symmetric in A and B, there are two different notions of conditional quasiprobability corresponding to preselection and postselection. Since they can be easily convert each other, in the following we introduce only the postselection version. The *weak postconditional quasiprobability distribution* of A given B = b is defined by

$$\Pr_{W}\{A = a | B = b | | \psi \rangle\} = \frac{\Pr_{W}\{A = a, B = b | | \psi \rangle\}}{\Pr\{B = b | | \psi \rangle\}}.$$

Now, weak and strong versions of conditional quasiexpectations are in order. The *strong* conditional quasiexpectation and the weak postconditional quasiexpectation of A given B = b are defined, respectively, as

$$\operatorname{Ex}_{S}\{A|B=b\||\psi\rangle\} = \sum_{a} a\operatorname{Pr}_{S}\{A=a|B=b\||\psi\rangle\}, \tag{1}$$

$$\operatorname{Ex}_{W}\{A|B=b\||\psi\rangle\} = \sum_{a} a \operatorname{Pr}_{W}\{A=a|B=b\||\psi\rangle\}. \tag{2}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\operatorname{Ex}_{W}\{A|B=b\|\ |\psi\rangle\} = \frac{\left\langle \psi|E^{B}(b)A|\psi\right\rangle}{\left\langle \psi|E^{B}(b)|\psi\right\rangle},$$

and from Theorem 2, we have

$$\operatorname{Ex}\{A|B=b\|\ |\psi\rangle\} = \operatorname{Ex}_S\{A|B=b\|\ |\psi\rangle\} = \operatorname{Ex}_W\{A|B=b\|\ |\psi\rangle\}$$

if $A \leftrightarrow_{|\psi\rangle} B$.

5. WEAK VALUES

The notion of weak values introduced by Aharonov-Albert-Vaidman [41] can be mathematically formulated as follows. For an observable A and two states $|\psi_i\rangle$ and $|\psi_f\rangle$, the weak value of A with preselected state $|\psi_i\rangle$ and postselected state $|\psi_f\rangle$ is defined by

$$A_{w} = rac{\left\langle \psi_{f} \left| A \right| \psi_{i}
ight
angle}{\left\langle \psi_{f} \middle| \psi_{i}
ight
angle}.$$

As pointed out by Steinberg [42, 43], the formal definition of the weak value coincides with the probability theoretical notion of weak postconditional quasiexpectation, which as shown above is naturally defined based on the Kirkwood joint quasiprobability [40].

Theorem 3 (Steinberg 1995) For observable $B = \sum_b b |B = b| \langle B = b|$, the weak value A_w of an observable A with preselected state $|\psi_i\rangle = |\psi\rangle$ and postselected state $|\psi_f\rangle =$ $|B=b\rangle$ coincides with the weak postconditional quasiprobability distribution of A given B = b, i.e.,

$$A_w = \operatorname{Ex}_W \{A | B = b | | | \psi \rangle \}.$$

6. STATE-DEPENDENT IDENTITY

In quantum mechanics, one of the fundamental questions is to answer when two observables are considered to have the same value. However, this question has eluded a satisfactory solution for long time. In the recent work [33, 35], the present author found a plausible solution to this problem based on the weak joint quasiprobability distribution.

We say that two observables A and B are identically correlated (or perfectly corre*lated*) in a state $|\psi\rangle$, in symbols $A =_{|\psi\rangle} B$, if

$$\Pr_{W}\{A = a, B = b || |\psi\rangle\} = 0,$$

provided $a \neq b$. For observables A, B and a state $|\psi\rangle$ the cyclic subspace $\mathscr{C}(A, B, |\psi\rangle)$ generated by $A, B, |\psi\rangle$ is defined by

$$\mathscr{C}(A, B, |\psi\rangle) = \{f(A, B) |\psi\rangle | f \text{ is a polynomial}\}.$$

We shall define $\mathscr{C}(A, |\psi\rangle)$ by $\mathscr{C}(A, |\psi\rangle) = \mathscr{C}(A, I, |\psi\rangle)$. The following theorem characterizes the identical correlation between two observables.

Theorem 4 For any observables A, B and state $|\psi\rangle$, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) $A =_{|\psi\rangle} B$.
- (ii) $A \leftrightarrow_{|\psi\rangle} B$ and $\sum_a \Pr\{A = a, B = a || |\psi\rangle\} = 1$.
- (iii) $|\psi\rangle$ is a superposition of common eigenstates of A and B with common eigenvalues.
 - (iv) A = B on $\mathscr{C}(A, |\psi\rangle)$.
 - (v) $E^A(x) = E^B(x)$ on $\mathscr{C}(A, |\psi\rangle)$ for all x.
 - (vi) $\Pr\{A = x | |\phi\rangle\} = \Pr\{B = x | |\phi\rangle\}$ for all x and $|\phi\rangle \in \mathscr{C}(A, |\psi\rangle)$.
 - (vii) $||A|\phi\rangle B|\phi\rangle|| = 0$ for all $|\phi\rangle \in \mathscr{C}(A, |\psi\rangle)$.
 - (viii) $\sum_{a} \Pr_{S} \{ A = a, B = a || |\psi \rangle \} = 1.$
 - (ix) $E^{A}(a)_{w} = \delta_{a,b}$ if $\Pr\{B = b || |\psi\rangle\} > 0$, where $|\psi_{i}\rangle = |\psi\rangle$ and $|\psi_{f}\rangle = |B = b\rangle$. (x) $\Pr_{S}\{A = a, B = b || |\psi\rangle\} = \Pr_{W}\{A = a, B = b || |\psi\rangle\} = \delta_{a,b} \Pr\{A = a || |\psi\rangle\}$.

An important property of quantum identical correlation is transitivity [33, 35], whereas commutativity does not have this property.

Theorem 5 The relation $=_{|\psi\rangle}$ is an equivalence relation among observables. In particular, if $A =_{|\psi\rangle} B$ and $B =_{|\psi\rangle} C$, then $A =_{|\psi\rangle} C$.

7. MEASURING PROCESSES

A measuring process for \mathscr{H} is defined to be a quadruple $(\mathscr{K}, |\xi\rangle, U, M)$ consisting of a Hilbert space \mathscr{K} , a state $|\xi\rangle$ in \mathscr{K} , a unitary operator U on $\mathscr{H}\otimes\mathscr{K}$, and an observable M on \mathscr{K} [4]. The measuring process $\mathbf{M}=(\mathscr{K}, |\xi\rangle, U, M)$ describes a measurement carried out by an interaction, called the *measuring interaction*, between the system \mathbf{S} described by \mathscr{H} and the *probe* system \mathbf{P} described by \mathscr{K} that is prepared in the state $|\xi\rangle$ just before the measuring interaction. The unitary operator U describes the time evolution during the measuring interaction, say, from time 0 to Δt . For any observables A, B on \mathscr{H} and M on \mathscr{K} , we write $A(0) = A \otimes I$, $B(0) = B \otimes I$, $M(0) = I \otimes M$, $A(\Delta t) = U^{\dagger}(A \otimes I)U$, $B(\Delta t) = U^{\dagger}(B \otimes I)U$, and $M(\Delta t) = U^{\dagger}(I \otimes M)U$. An n-dimensional POVM is a family of positive operators $\Pi(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ on \mathscr{H} with $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $\sum_{x_1, \ldots, x_n} \Pi(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = I$. For measuring process \mathbf{M} , the relation

$$\Pi(x) = \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathscr{K}}[E^{M(\Delta t)}(x)(I \otimes |\xi\rangle\langle\xi|)]. \tag{3}$$

defines a 1-dimensional POVM called the POVM of **M**. The outcome of measuring process **M** is obtained by measuring the observable M, called the *meter observable*, in the probe at the time just after the measuring interaction. Thus, the *output probability distribution* $\Pr\{\mathbf{x} = x || |\psi\rangle\}$, the probability distribution of the output variable **x** of this measurement on an arbitrary input state $|\psi\rangle$, is given by the POVM of measuring process **M** as

$$\Pr\{\mathbf{x} = x || |\psi\rangle\} = \Pr\{M(\Delta t) = x || |\psi\rangle |\xi\rangle\} = \langle \psi |\Pi(x)|\psi\rangle. \tag{4}$$

8. UNIVERSALLY VALID UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

A simultaneous measuring process is a 6-tuple $\mathbf{M} = (\mathcal{K}, |\xi\rangle, U, M, f, g)$ consisting of a measuring process $(\mathcal{K}, |\xi\rangle, U, M)$ and a pair of real functions f, g. For observables A, B and a state $|\psi\rangle$, the root-mean-square (rms) errors $\varepsilon(A)$ and $\varepsilon(B)$ of \mathbf{M} for the A-measurement and the B-measurement, respectively, in $|\psi\rangle$ is defined by

$$\varepsilon(A) = \|N(A)|\psi\rangle|\xi\rangle\|, \tag{5}$$

$$\varepsilon(B) = \|N(B)|\psi\rangle|\xi\rangle\|, \tag{6}$$

where the *noise operators* N(A), N(B) are given by $N(A) = f(M(\Delta t)) - A(0)$ and $N(B) = g(M(\Delta t)) - B(0)$. Then, those errors always satisfy the following universally valid relation [26, 27]

$$\varepsilon(A)\varepsilon(B) + \varepsilon(A)\sigma(B) + \sigma(A)\varepsilon(B) \ge \frac{1}{2} |\langle \psi | [A, B] \psi \rangle|,$$
 (7)

where $\sigma(A), \sigma(B)$ are the standard deviations of A, B in $|\psi\rangle$. If the *mean errors* $\langle \xi | \langle \psi | N(A) | \psi \rangle | \xi \rangle$ and $\langle \xi | \langle \psi | N(B) | \psi \rangle | \xi \rangle$ are independent of the initial state $|\xi\rangle$ of the probe, we have [26, 27]

$$\varepsilon(A)\varepsilon(B) \ge \frac{1}{2} |\langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi \rangle|,$$
 (8)

a form suggested originally by Heisenberg's gamma-ray microscope thought experiment [44]. The last relation is obviously not universally valid, since $\varepsilon(A) = 0$ implies $\varepsilon(B) \sim \infty$ in a state with $\langle \psi | [A,B] | \psi \rangle \neq 0$, whereas the new relation, (7), concludes the new constraint $\sigma(A)\varepsilon(B) \geq \frac{1}{2}|\langle \psi|[A,B]|\psi\rangle|$ [26, 27]. Hall [45] pointed out the role of prior information in the violation of (8).

9. SIMULTANEOUS MEASURABILITY

For observables A, B and a state $|\psi\rangle$, a simultaneous measurement of A, B in $|\psi\rangle$ is a simultaneous measuring process $(\mathcal{K}, |\xi\rangle, U, M, f, g)$ satisfying

$$f(M(\Delta t)) =_{|\psi\rangle|\xi\rangle} A(0),$$

 $g(M(\Delta t)) =_{|\psi\rangle|\xi\rangle} B(0).$

The above condition is equivalent to the condition that the measuring process $(\mathcal{K}, |\xi\rangle, U, f(M))$ measures A and that the measuring process $(\mathcal{K}, |\xi\rangle, U, g(M))$ measures B. Two observables A, B are said to be simultaneously measurable in a state $|\psi\rangle$ if there exists a simultaneous measurement of A, B in $|\psi\rangle$.

From Theorem 4 (vii), any simultaneous measurement of A, B satisfies $\varepsilon(A) =$ $\varepsilon(B) = 0$, so that $\langle \psi | [A,B] | \psi \rangle = 0$. Moreover, any simultaneous measurement of A,B can be easily modified to be a simultaneous measurement of $E^{A}(a), E^{B}(b)$, so that we also have $\langle \psi | [E^A(a), E^B(b)] | \psi \rangle = 0$ for all a, b; however, this does not imply that A and B commute in $|\psi\rangle$, since the latter condition is equivalent to $\langle \psi | [E^A(a), E^B(b)]^2 | \psi \rangle = 0$. Thus, a simultaneous measurement of nowhere commuting observables A and B is possible only in the case where $\langle \psi | [E^A(a), E^B(b)] | \psi \rangle = 0$ for all a, b but $\langle \psi | [E^A(a), E^B(b)]^2 | \psi \rangle \neq 0$ for some a, b.

The joint output probability distribution of a simultaneous measuring process $(\mathcal{K}, |\xi\rangle, U, M, f, g)$ is the joint probability distribution of the output variables x, y defined by

$$\Pr\{\mathbf{x} = x, \mathbf{y} = y | |\psi\rangle\} = \Pr\{f(M(\Delta t)) = x, g(M(\Delta t)) = y | |\psi\rangle|\xi\rangle\}. \tag{9}$$

Then, we have

$$\Pr\{\mathbf{x}=x,\mathbf{y}=y|||\psi\rangle\} = \sum_{u:x=f(u),y=g(u)} \langle \psi|\Pi(u)|\psi\rangle.$$

Simultaneous measurability and commutativity are not equivalent notion under the state-dependent formulation, as the following theorem clarifies [46].

Theorem 6 (i) Two observables A,B commute in a state $|\psi\rangle$ if and only if there is a 2-dimensional POVM Π such that

$$\sum_{y} \Pi(x, y) = E^{A}(x) \quad on \quad \mathscr{C}(A, B, |\psi\rangle), \tag{10}$$

$$\sum_{x} \Pi(x, y) = E^{B}(y) \quad on \quad \mathscr{C}(A, B, |\psi\rangle). \tag{11}$$

$$\sum_{x} \Pi(x, y) = E^{B}(y) \quad on \quad \mathscr{C}(A, B, |\psi\rangle). \tag{11}$$

(ii) Two observables A, B are simultaneously measurable in a state $|\Psi\rangle$ if and only if there is a 2-dimensional POVM Π such that

$$\sum_{y} \Pi(x,y) = E^{A}(x) \quad on \quad \mathscr{C}(A,|\psi\rangle), \tag{12}$$

$$\sum_{x} \Pi(x,y) = E^{B}(y) \quad on \quad \mathscr{C}(B,|\psi\rangle). \tag{13}$$

$$\sum_{x} \Pi(x, y) = E^{B}(y) \quad on \quad \mathscr{C}(B, |\psi\rangle). \tag{13}$$

(iii) Two observables are simultaneously measurable in a state $|\psi\rangle$ if they commute in $|\psi\rangle$.

In the conventional theory, the joint output probability distribution of the simultaneous measurement of two commuting observables A and B in a state ψ is shown to be given by their joint probability distribution. However, if A and B are not commuting, this relation is no longer meaningful. Instead, we can show that the joint output probability distribution of the simultaneous measurement is always given by the weak joint probability distribution.

Theorem 7 For any simultaneous measurement $(\mathcal{K}, |\xi\rangle, U, M, f, g)$ of observables A, Bin a state $|\Psi\rangle$, the joint output probability distribution does not depend on the measuring process but always coincides with the weak joint quasiprobability distribution, i.e.,

$$\Pr{\mathbf{x} = x, \mathbf{y} = y || |\psi\rangle} = \Pr_{W}{A = x, B = y || |\psi\rangle}.$$

10. CHARACTERIZATIONS

In this section, we collect results on characterizations of simultaneous measurements of nowhere commuting observables.

The conventional relation between simultaneous measurability and commutativity is recovered as follows.

Theorem 8 Observables A and B are simultaneously measurable in every state $|\psi\rangle$ if and only if A and B commute on \mathcal{H} .

The following theorems show that we can simultaneously measure two nowhere commuting observables [46].

Theorem 9 In any Hilbert space, every pair of observables are simultaneously measurable in any eigenstate of either observable.

Theorem 10 In any Hilbert space with dimension more than 3, there are nowhere commuting observables that are simultaneously measurable in a state that is not an eigenstate of either observable.

In the case where $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = 2$, the following characterization holds.

Theorem 11 Assume $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = 2$. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) A and B are simultaneously measurable in $|\psi\rangle$.
- (ii) $\Pr_{W}\{A=a,B=b|||\psi\rangle\} \geq 0$ for all a,b.
- (iii) Either A and B commute in $|\psi\rangle$, or $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigenstate of A or B.

It is an open problem whether the equivalence between conditions (i) and (ii) above holds in arbitrary dimensions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by KAKENHI 21244007.

REFERENCES

- 1. Heisenberg, W., *The Physical Principles of the Quantum Theory*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1930.
- 2. Schrödinger, E., *Naturwissenshaften*, **23**, 807–812, 823–828, 844–849 (1935).
- 3. Einstein, A., Podolsky, B., and Rosen, N., Phys. Rev., 47, 777–780 (1935).
- 4. Ozawa, M., J. Math. Phys., 25, 79–87 (1984).
- 5. Ozawa, M., Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Kyoto Univ., 21, 279–295 (1985).
- 6. Ozawa, M., J. Math. Phys., 26, 1948–1955 (1985).
- 7. Ozawa, M., J. Math. Phys., 27, 759–763 (1986).
- 8. Ozawa, M., Phys. Rev. Lett., **60**, 385–388 (1988).
- 9. Ozawa, M., "Realization of measurement and the standard quantum limit," in *Squeezed and Non-classical Light*, edited by P. Tombesi and E. R. Pike, Plenum, New York, 1989, pp. 263–286.
- 10. Ozawa, M., Phys. Rev. A, 41, 1735-1737 (1990).
- 11. Ozawa, M., Phys. Rev. Lett., 67, 1956–1959 (1991).
- 12. Ozawa, M., "Quantum limits of measurements and uncertainty principle," in *Quantum Aspects of Optical Communications*, edited by C. Bendjaballah, O. Hirota, and S. Reynaud, Springer, Berlin, 1991, pp. 3–17.
- 13. Yuen, H. P., and Ozawa, M., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **70**, 363–366 (1993).
- 14. Ozawa, M., J. Math. Phys., 34, 5596-5624 (1993).
- Ozawa, M., "Mathematical Characterizations of Measurement Statistics," in *Quantum Communications and Measurement*, edited by V. P. Belavkin, O. Hirota, and R. L. Hudson, Plenum, New York, 1995, pp. 109–117.
- 16. Ozawa, M., "Quantum State Reduction and the Quantum Bayes Principle," in *Quantum Communication, Computing, and Measurement*, edited by O. Hirota, A. S. Holevo, and C. M. Caves, Plenum, New York, 1997, pp. 233–241.
- 17. Ozawa, M., Ann. Phys. (N.Y.), 259, 121–137 (1997).
- 18. Ozawa, M., Fortschr. Phys., 46, 615-625 (1998).
- 19. Ozawa, M., Phys. Lett. A, 275, 5–11 (2000).
- 20. Ozawa, M., Phys. Rev. A, 62, 062101 (1-13) (2000).
- 21. Ozawa, M., Phys. Rev. A, 63, 032109 (1-15) (2001).
- 22. Ozawa, M., Phys. Lett. A, 282, 336–342 (2001).
- 23. Ozawa, M., "Quantum measurement, information, and completely positive maps," in *Quantum Communication*, *Computing*, *and Measurement 3*, edited by P. Tombesi and O. Hirota, Kluwer/Plenum, New York, 2001, pp. 97–106.
- 24. Ozawa, M., Phys. Rev. Lett., 88, 050402 (1-4) (2002).
- 25. Ozawa, M., Phys. Lett. A, 299, 1-7 (2002).
- 26. Ozawa, M., Phys. Rev. A, 67, 042105 (1-6) (2003).
- 27. Ozawa, M., Int. J. Quant. Inf., 1, 569-588 (2003).
- 28. Ozawa, M., "Quantum Limits of Measurement and Computing Induced by Conservation Laws and Uncertainty Relations," in *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Quantum Communication, Measurement and Computing*, edited by J. H. Shappiro and O. Hirota, Rinton Press, Princeton, 2003, pp. 175–180.
- 29. Ozawa, M., Phys. Lett. A, 318, 21-29 (2003).
- 30. Ozawa, M., Ann. Phys. (N.Y.), **311**, 350–416 (2004).

- 31. Ozawa, M., "Universal uncertainty principle and quantum state control under conservation laws," in *Quantum Communication, Measurement and Computing: The Seventh International Conference on Quantum Communication, Measurement and Computing*, edited by S. M. Barnett, E. Andersson, J. Jeffers, P. Öhberg, and O. Hirota, American Institute of Physics, Melville, 2004, vol. 734 of *AIP Conference Proceedings*, pp. 95–98.
- 32. Ozawa, M., J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt., 7, S672–S681 (2005).
- 33. Ozawa, M., Phys. Lett. A, 335, 11–19 (2005).
- 34. Ozawa, M., "Noise and disturbance in quantum measurements and operations," in *Quantum Information and Computation IV*, edited by E. J. Donkor, A. R. Pirich, and H. E. Brandt, SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng., 2006, vol. 6244 of *Proc. SPIE*, pp. 62440Q (1–9).
- 35. Ozawa, M., Ann. Phys. (N.Y.), 321, 744–769 (2006).
- 36. Ozawa, M., "Simultaneous Measurability of Non-Commuting Observables and the Universal Uncertainty Principle," in *Proc. 8th Int. Conf. on Quantum Communication, Measurement and Computing*, edited by O. Hirota, J. Shapiro, and M. Sasaki, NICT Press, Tokyo, 2007, pp. 363–368.
- 37. Kimura, G., Meister, B. K., and Ozawa, M., *Phys. Rev. A*, **78**, 032106 (7 pages) ((2008)).
- 38. Gudder, S., J. Math. Mech., 18, 325–335 (1968).
- 39. Birkhoff, G., and von Neumann, J., Ann. Math., 37, 823-845 (1936).
- 40. Kirkwood, J. G., Phys. Rev., 44, 31–37 (1933).
- 41. Aharonov, Y., Albert, D. Z., and Vaidman, L., Phys. Rev. Lett., 60, 1351–1354 (1988).
- 42. Steinberg, A. M., Phys. Rev. A, 52, 32–42 (1995).
- 43. Steinberg, A. M., Phys. Rev. Lett., 74, 2405–2409 (1995).
- 44. Heisenberg, W., Z. Phys., 43, 172–198 (1927).
- 45. Hall, M. J. W., Phys. Rev. A, 69, 052113 (2004).
- 46. Ozawa, M., Found. Phys., 41, 592–607 (2011).