QX-314 Induces Analgesia to Nociceptive Thermal Stimulus by Co-Application with Capsiate or Anandamide
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Abstract
Recently, N-ethyl-lidocaine (QX-314) was demonstrated to pass through a nociceptor membrane when the drug was co-applied with capsaicin, thereby leading to analgesia due to selective blockade of a sodium channel associated with the excitability in nociceptors. However, capsaicin induces a pain in the case of injection with QX-314. Therefore this good idea is unfavorable for a clinical therapy. Then, we examined whether non pungent capsiate (CST) and arachidonylethanolamide (anandamide: AEA) can deliver QX-314 into nociceptive neurons through the pores of transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) and contribute to the antinociceptive effect. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), CST, QX-314, a mixture (combination) of CST and QX-314 (CST/QX-314), and a combination of AEA and QX-314 (AEA/QX-314) were injected into the hind paws of rats to evaluate anti-noxious heat effect. A long-lasting sensory nerve block (analgesia) was induced by CST/QX-314 or AEA/QX-314. Especially, the CST/QX-314 caused more effective than our previous studies with the combination of capsaicin and QX-314 (CAP/QX-314). The present results also indicate that CST can induce analgesia by itself. The study aimed at developing a new method with substances distinct from capsaicin to eliminate the action-potential firing in nociceptors (but effective only for pain sensation). As a result, CST is likely to be a potent candidate for pain relief.
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Introduction
Local anesthetic can produce reversible suppression of the nerve conduction when applied to the peripheral nociceptors. The drugs block the voltage-gated sodium channel, leading to loss of pain or hypoalgesia in the applied area [1]. An anesthetic lidocaine, well used clinically, is a quaternary amine that possesses a mixed state of protonated and uncharged base forms under physiological conditions [2]. Because the uncharged hydrophobic form of lidocaine can penetrate all neuronal membranes, it affects on multiple functions other than anesthesia; in addition to numbness by blockade of the low threshold sensory nerve, dyskinesia and insufficient physical conditions occur due to the blockades of motor nerve and the autonomic nerve, respectively.

Binshtok et al [3] devised a new method for pain relief using permanently charged sodium channel blockers such as N-ethyl-lidocaine (QX-314), a lipophobic lidocaine derivative. QX-314 can block sodium channel when injected directly into the cytoplasm of the cell. On the contrary, when QX-314 was applied extra cellular in a standard local anesthetic, it cannot cut off a sodium channel because of failure to penetrate the membrane by its lipophobic nature [4,5]. However, QX-314 is able to selectively enter into nociceptors if co-applied with capsaicin and lead to a preferential blockade of sodium channel responsible for the excitability on nociceptors [3,6]. The pore size of the transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) channels, which are opened by capsaicin, is enough to deliver QX-314 into nociceptive sensory neurons [3,6]. Quite recently, the passage of QX-314 through TRPV1 channel was visually confirmed by use of 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein-conjugated QX-314 [7]. Therefore, the new method without numbness and motor paralysis was expected for a selective blockade of nociception. Since capsaicin produces a pain when it is co-applied with QX-314, this improbable method cannot be recommended for a clinical use. As lidocaine can activate TRPV1 channel, QX-314 was assumed to enter into a nociceptor through TRPV1 channel by co-application with lidocaine [8,9].

Unexpectedly co-application of QX-314 and lidocaine cuts off the excitability of various types of neurons other than nociceptors [8,9]. The purpose of this study is to find the material in place of capsaicin to develop a new method in the clinical setting. In the previous studies, we examined the ability of allylisothiocyanate (AITC) and menthol for delivery of QX-314 into nociceptive neurons through the pores of both transient receptor potential ankyrin 1 (TRPA1) and transient receptor potential melastatin-8 (TRPM8), respectively, and whether they can produce antinociceptive effects or not [7]. The results indicated that AITC (via TRPA1 channel) and menthol (via TRPM8 channel) are ineffective in the transport of QX-314 compared with capsaicin (via TRPV1 channel) [7]. Therefore, it is meaningful to search a new TRPV1 agonist with no irritation like capsaicin.

For the first time, capsiate (CST) and arachidonylethanolamide (AEA: anandamide) were chosen as candidates. CST is extracted from the fruit of non-pungent cultivar of the pepper (CH-19 Sweet species), and its chemical structure is very similar to capsaicin except the ester bond in substitution for vanillyl structure and fatty acid chains (Figure 1) [10,11]. Therefore, it is called capsinoid different from capsaicinoid as an analog of capsaicin. Iida et
al. reported that CST with high lipophilicity is an agonist of TRPV1, exciting peripheral nociceptors when subcutaneously injected into hind paws but not skin of mice [12]. About 20 years ago, AEA was found as a component binding to the cannabinoid receptor in the brain (Figure 1). Continuously, multiple new receptors for AEA were identified such as TRPV1, and demonstrated that AEA has an ability to activate TRPV1 [13]. At present, the AEA is often introduced as "endovanilloid" [13]. The ability of CST and AEA to deliver QX-314 into nociceptive neurons via the TRPV1 channel and whether they can produce an antinociceptive effect were investigated in this study.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Thirty-six male Wister rats (120–350 g) were used for behavioral tests. The animals were housed in a controlled lighting environment (12h in light and 12h in dark cycle) with rodent feed and water available ad libitum. Behavioral tests started at the same time in a day to avoid circadian effects. The study protocol was approved by the Tokushima University Care and Use of Animals Committee.

Application of chemicals

Drugs: Capsiate (CST) was presented by Ajinomoto Co., Ltd (Japan). Anandamide (AEA) and QX-314 were purchased from Cayman Chemical (USA) and Enzo Life Science (Japan), respectively. CST and AEA were freshly prepared with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). QX-314 was dissolved in physiological saline.

Intraplantar injection with agonists for behavioral tests: Ten μL (in each treatment) of 25mM CST (CST group), 2% QX-314 only (QX-314 group), a mixture of CST and QX-314 (CST/QX-314 group), and DMSO alone (DMSO group) were injected into the right plantar hind paws of rats (CST experiments). Similarly, 10μL of 5mM AEA were injected to evaluate the effects of AEA (AEA experiments). Six animals were used in each experimental group. The data from the CST and AEA experiments were compared with those of QX-314 and DMSO groups. The concentration of the drugs was determined according to the references [12,13].

Hind paw withdrawal behavioral tests to noxious heat (Hargreaves method): Thermal sensitivity was examined by exposing the hind paws to a defined radiant heat stimuli through a transparent glass surface (Plantar Test; Ugo Basile Srl, Comerio, Italy). The paw-withdrawal latencies were started to record just after the animals were put on the transparent glass [14]. The intensity of the heat stimuli was adjusted to 50 [15]. A cut-off time was set at 20 seconds to avoid the tissue damage. Each rat received two serial stimuli at intervals of at least 2 minutes. Before the drug injection, baseline withdrawal-response latencies were determined for all of the animals. The withdrawal-response latencies were measured at 10, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, and 300 minutes after the drug injections. The experimenter was blind to the treatment groups in all behavioral tests.

Data analysis

The experimental data were analyzed with repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by the Least Significant Difference (LSD) post hoc test. Results were expressed as the mean ± Standard Error (SE). P < 0.05 was considered as significant differences.

Results and Discussion

CST/QX-314 induced longer withdrawal latency (analgesia) than either the QX-314 or DMSO between 10 and 300 minutes after injection (P < 0.001, Figure 2). Although CST alone induced analgesia between 10 and 60 minutes after injection (10 min: P < 0.01, 30 – 60 min: P < 0.001, Figure 2), the CST/QX-314 elicited a more intense analgesia than the CST between 10 and 300 minutes except for 30 minutes after injection (P < 0.001). AEA/QX-314 also induced a longer withdrawal latency between 60 and 240 minutes after injection (60 min: P < 0.01, 120 – 240 min: P < 0.001, Figure 3). Thus, a long-lasting sensory nerve inhibition was demonstrated in both CST/QX-314 and AEA/QX-314 treatments. Even the analgesia by CST alone was also sustained for longer duration than AEA. The maximal thermal latency was 7.9 ± 1.7 seconds in the CST/QX-314, whereas that was 5.8 ± 1.1 seconds in the AEA/QX-314; the analgesic potency of CST was significantly “1.4 times” higher than the AEA. The analgesic potency of CST was high at approximately 1.2 times compared with capsaicin (CAP/QX-314) from our previous data [7], but it was not significant. Taken together, these drugs (CST, AEA and CAP) have a common duration of analgesia which lasted between 60 and 240 minutes after
Na+ channels not via TRPV1 likely to eugenol. Tomohiro et al. [20] reported that CST is transported via TRPV1-independent manner [17-19]. The CST is assumed to restrain the transport of QX-314 more than either the QX-314 or DMSO. We demonstrated that six minutes after injection, suggesting that the CST elicited a local analgesia by itself. Eugenol (An analgesic extract from clove oil), is frequently used in dental treatment to induce analgesia by itself. We aimed at developing a new method by finding some substances different from capsaicin to eliminate the action-potential firing in nociceptors. In this regard, CST may be a higher beneficial candidate.

As mentioned above, the effects (analgesia) of CST/QX-314 appeared rapidly and lasted longer than CAP/QX-314 and AEA/QX-314. CST is reported to potentiate energy metabolism by stimulating the sympathetic nervous system like capsaicin [16]. Because CST is able to activate TRPV1 and open the channel similarly to capsaicin [12], the QX-314 could penetrate via TRPV1 channel when it is co-administered with CST. The CST also caused more potent analgesia between 10 and 60 minutes after injection, suggesting that the CST elicited a local analgesia by itself. Eugenol (An analgesic extract from clove oil), is frequently used in dental treatment to recover toothache. It is a small molecule with structural similarity to capsaicin, thereby displaying an agonist function for the TRPV1 receptor [Figure 1]. Curiously, eugenol inhibited Na+ channels in a TRPV1-independent manner [17-19]. The CST is assumed to restrain Na+ channels not via TRPV1 likely to eugenol. Tomohiro et al. [20] examined the influences of CST on the capsaicin action potential by applying the air-gap method to the frog sciatic nerve [20]. They demonstrated the action potential inhibition by CST in a wide range of concentration [20]. Furthermore, Shintaku et al. [21] reported that CST could activate TRPA1 using Ca2+- imaging and whole-cell patch clamp methods. High concentration (2mM) of TRPA1 agonists (AITC) had an ability to inhibit nerve conduction regardless of the TRPA1 channel [22]. Thus, high concentration of CST (25mM) may induce anesthetic efficiency as well.

Since the discovery of the cannabinoids receptor, the many studies have been undertaken to detect endogenous ligands. AEA was an initial ligands identified in the brain of the pig [23]. Capsaicin-related chemical formula of AEA suggests an activation of TRPV1, indicating the interaction between cannabinoids and vanilloid systems [24-26]. Accordingly, the long duration of withdrawal latency by the AEA/QX-314 than either the QX-314 or DMSOs is thought to be occurred. QX-314 appeared to enter the nociceptive sensory nerves via TRPV1 channel due to opening the channel by AEA. However, the duration of analgesia induced by AEA/QX-314 was shorter than our previous study by CAP/QX-314 [7]. The AEA/QX-314 elicited analgesia between 60 and 240 minutes. On the other hand, the CAP/QX-314 elicited analgesia between 60 and 300 minutes [7]. The binding affinity of AEA for TRPV1 seems to be weaker than that of capsaicin. In fact, Toth et al. reported that the affinity of AEA was five times weaker than capsaicin [27]. Ross also reported that the binding potency of AEA on TRPV1 is significantly lower than that of capsaicin (0.5-10μM and 10-100 nM, respectively) [28].

Conclusion

The study showed that a long-lasting sensory nerve block was induced by injections with CST/QX-314 and AEA/QX-314. Especially, the CST/QX-314 was more effective than CAP/QX-314 in our previous studies. The results also indicated that CST alone can induce analgesia by itself. We aimed at developing a new method by finding some substances different from capsaicin to eliminate the action-potential firing in nociceptors. In this regard, CST may be a higher beneficial candidate.
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