

TAMING MOTIVES: CONNECTING AND DIFFERENTIATING INDIVIDUAL MOTIVE WITH ORGANIZATIONAL PURPOSE

Ayumi Higuchi the University of Tokyo

EGOS & Kyoto 13, Dec 2019

PURPOSE

This paper examines motives used in organizations (1-1, 1-2), and demonstrate how those usage can be understood theoretically (2).

- 1-1. How the concept of motives are involved in organizing team members and purpose of the project, i.e. motives which are ascribed to organizations.
- 1-2. How concept of motives are also used to ascribe ideas, or communication to person.
- 2. How 1-1 and 1-2 is understood consistently.

Although 1-1 and 1-2 both appears in same organization together empirically, in theory, there are no bridge or relationships concerned. Luhmann(2017) mentions, there are two main streams about the concept of motive. However, even Luhmann has not described how those two ways of ascription relate and effect organizational management.

THEORETICAL FRAMING

1. Vocabularies of motive (W. Mills, 1940)

Sees motive as socially situated communication or means of account, rather recognizing it as personal, internal ideas. However, if people communicate about person's thoughts, that also be reognized and discussed, as it is expressed and been communicated.

2. 4 types of motive in use

I sorted 4 type of motive to analyze. Motive in organizational studies are often regarded as retrospective-psychological concept. Here we add two features, one is time-scope of future and the other is sociological perspective.

see Table 1.

TABLE 1: TYPES OF PERSPECTIVE AND TIME SCOPE ON MOTIVE

		Time scope	
		Future - proposal	Past -
			retrospective
Perspective on motive	personal, internal	in-order-to motive (A-1)	because motive (A-2)
	constructed	communicative in-	communicative
	through communication	order-to motive (B-1)	because motive (B-2)

^{*} Although the name of "in-order-to motive" and "because motive" comes from Alfred Schütz, the perspective of this paper is based on N. Luhmann's communication theory, that means, this paper only sees what is communicated, and do not include persons inner ideas unless it is communicated.

EMPIRICAL RESEARCH DESIGN

1. Ethnography

Observation is held for 10 months from June 2015 to March 2016, including focused interviews. And I have been working as an intern for 3 months, before research.

2. why Dailyco.

- Dailyco. uses its unique concept of "MOTIVE" to develop projects. Generally speaking, "MOTIVE" is fusion of person's ideas and organizational purpose. Although, Dailyco. highly believes that project must start from individual passion, aspect as organizational purpose is often denied, forgotten or left ambiguous.
- It seems unique, but ambiguity about ascribing ideas to person/organization is rather general problem, especially for white color workers, and in creative industry. Therefore, we believe that there are some implications from one case ethnography.

A CANAL CHART OF DAILY CO.

A canal shaped chart is shared to show how they create products and projects in Daily co. There are three element; "MOTIVE", "Gathering", and "Action". And surrounding these elements, there is a "society".

Between these elements, water floats in, and it shows they influence each other, so that MOTIVE is not only decided by person intention or organization's interest, but is always exists with interaction between its environment.



HOW "MOTIVE" IS USED IN DAILY CO.



1. "MOTIVE" is a source of planning projects or products for person



2. Resource of building project teams which make "MOTIVE" as socially structed concept.



3. Is a concept for evaluating projects retrospectively



4. Keeping beliefs in the organization that only person's passion and idea is worth creating good projects

FINDINGS

As an ethnograhy,

1. the concept named "MOTIVE" in Daily co. is functioning as a kind of institution (and "paradox" in Luhmann's technical term) that encourages individual to work with his/her originality, and at the same time, to make them to cooperate with others through accounting, since there are few situation to be assigning by command and control.

Theortically,

2. in modern organization, it is conceivable that, by changing narratives, organizational members switch whether a persons' behavior is conceived as individual conduct or it is depersonalized and attributed to the organization.

REFERENCES

Baard, P. P., Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2004). Intrinsic Need Satisfaction: A Motivational Basis of Performance and WelBeing in Two Work Settings 1. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 34(10), 2045–2068.

Barnard, C. I. (1938, 1968). The functions of the executive. Harvard University Press.

Blum, A. F., & McHugh, P. (1971). The Social Ascription of Motives. American Sociological Review, 36(1), 98. https://doi.org/10.2307/2093510

Brown, T. (2009). Change by design: How design thinking transforms organizations and inspires innovation. New York: Harper Business.

Burke, K. (1950). A rhetoric of motives. New York: Prentice-Hall.

Cerasoli, C. P., Nicklin, J. M., & Ford, M. T. (2014). Intrinsic Motivation and Extrinsic Incentives Jointly Predict Performace: A 40-Year Meta-Analysis. *American Psychological Association*, 140(4), 980–1008.

Danziger, K. (1997). Naming the mind: How psychology found its language. London: Sage Publisher.

Gergen, K. J. (1994). Realities and relationships: Soundings in social construction. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press.

Lampel, J., Lant, T., & Shamsie, J. (2000). Balancing Act: Learning from Organizing Practices in Cultural Industries. *Organization Science*, 11(3), 263–269. Luhmann, N. (2002). Das Erziehungssystem der Gesellschaft. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp.

March, J. G., & Simon, H. A. (1993). Organizations (2nd ed). Cambridge, Mass: Blackwell.

Mills, C. W. (1940). Situated Actions and Vocabularies of Motive. American Sociological Review, 5(6), 904–913. https://doi.org/10.2307/2084524

Pinder, C. C. (1984). Work motivation: Theory, issues, and applications. Scott, Foresman.

Schütz, A. (1960). Der sinnhafte Aufbau der sozialen Welt: Eine Einleitung in die verstehende Soziologie (2 Aufl). Wien: Springer.

Schütz, A. (1972). The phenomenology of the social world (1st paperback ed). Evanston, III: Northwestern University Press.

Verganti, R. (2016). Overcrowded: Designing meaningful products in a world awash with ideas. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press.

Weber, M. (1921). Soziologische Grundbegriffe. In Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft: Soziologie (MWG1/23). Tübingen: J.C.B. Mohr.

Weber, M. (1922). Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology (G. Roth & C. Wittich, Eds.). Berkeley: University of California Press.

Weick, K. E. (1979). The social psychology of organizing (2nd ed.). Reading, Mass.: Wesley Pub. Co.