This manuscript is published in *Palaestra*, Vol. 35, No. 4, pages 36-40. The published article is not allowed to share in pdf format. To obtain the published article, please contact the publisher: copyrightpermissions@sagamorepub.com, or get hold of a copy through libraries.

Please cite as: Miyahara, M., Culling, M., & Green, C. (2021). Delivering clinic- and home-based programs concurrently for children with Developmental Coordination Disorder: A multiple case study. *Palaestra*, *35*(4), 36-40.

Delivering clinic- and home-based programs concurrently for children with

Developmental Coordination Disorder: A multiple case study

Authors' names: Motohide Miyahara, PhD^{*1} Michael Culling, BPhEd² Clare Green, BPhEd, BOccTher³

* Correspondence author

¹Freelance Independent Scholar, Dunedin, New Zealand <motohide.miyahara@gmail.com>

² Active Families, Sport Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

³ School of Occupational Therapy, Otago Polytechnic, Dunedin, New Zealand

Abstract

Typical settings of intervention for children with developmental coordination disorder (DCD) include home, school, and clinic. Within this current study, we aimed to describe how a concurrent delivery of clinic and home programs for children with DCD would work. Four children with DCD and their families participated in a 10-week trial. All four children had high attendance rates for the clinic sessions. Two of the four families effusively participated in the home program, but the other two families reported difficulties with home practice. The significance of this multiple case report lies in the increased awareness of diverse family needs and capacities to support children with DCD at home. (105 words)

Keywords: Developmental Coordination Disorder, DCD, intervention, home program

According to DSM-5 (Diagnostic Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th version) by the American Psychiatric Association (2013), Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD) is characterised by a significant delay in acquisition and execution of coordinated motor skills, such as ball skills, which impact activities and participation at home, school, community, and vocational settings, including leisure and play. Among the neurodevelopmental disorders, DCD has the highest prevalence of 5-6% among children aged 5-11 years. Dyspraxia is another term used synonymously with DCD by some and differently by others (See Miyahara, Leeder, Francis, & Inghelbrecht, 2008 for more detail).

Interventions for children with DCD have been carried out and studied at clinic, university labs, home, school, and the community over the past four decades (Miyahara et al., 2017). Despite the claimed value of home and school practice, there are an extremely limited number of intervention studies that leverage non-experts, such as parents and general school teachers as interventionists. In Miyahara et al.'s, (2009) Family Focussed Intervention (FFI), there was lack of adherence to home practice of planned target skills, such as ball skills to play soccer and tennis. Cadzow et al. (2017) followed up on one such case who later attended a clinic program. As a result of the clinic intervention, the child not only improved sports skills, but started participating in school- and community-based physical activities. This longitudinal single case study observed the home- and clinic-based intervention alongside the home-based intervention could have encouraged those participants who did not sufficiently practice more in the home-based program. In this case series, we delivered a home-based intervention program alongside a clinic-based program, and examined the practicalities of simultaneous delivery, whilst monitoring the fidelity of the two programs.

Method

Participants

Four children were referred to the clinic for movement problems by medical professionals who had already diagnosed the children with various conditions (Table 1). Table 1 also includes the endpoint levels of motor development that were assessed with the Developmental Coordination Disorder Questionnaire (Wilson et al., 2009), the Movement Assessment Battery for Children, Second Edition Checklist (MABC-2 Checklist) and Performance Test (Henderson et al., 2007). The three assessment instruments were not administered to determine any intervention effect, but to indicate the motor development status of the four children. Instead, children's progress in the two programs was authentically assessed by clinical observation of task-specific skills (Kluwe et al., 2012).

Procedure

Clinic program

Eight preservice teachers served as clinic interventionists for this study. After the initial training, a pair of student teachers were assigned to teach a child for seven 50-minute weekly clinic sessions over a 10-week period. The details of activities in the clinic sessions are explained in each case description.

Home program

The Family Focused Intervention (FFI) for Children with DCD (Miyahara et al., 2009) was used for the home program. This program is also based on cognitive behavioural case formulation, drawing on eclectic theoretical models, such as, Bronfenbrenner's theory of ecological development to identify resources, and Newell's theory of constraints to adapt the task and environment. After the intake interview, intial assessment, and explanation of FFI in the first two weeks, the FFI Workbook was provided to the parents during Week 3, to guide parents to plan and conduct interventions at home. Using the FFI Workbook, families could systematically and comprehensively prepare and execute a home program, based on the cognitive behavioural case formulation approach. They were asked to write at least one log entry per week.

Results

Case description 1: George

George is a ten-year old boy diagnosed with dyspraxia and suspected of having DCD, according to his general practitioner. George exhibits low muscle tone, poor balance and motor incoordination which limit his participation in activities with his peers.

During the intake interview with his assigned student teachers, George and his father both agreed that riding a bicycle and climbing a tree were the most important skills for George to learn, so he could join his peer group. The student teachers used monkey bars in the clinic gym instead of climbing a tree, and a small-step approach to learning how to ride a bicycle.

At the initial assessment, George could hang from a monkey bar with both hands for 20 seconds. By Week 9, he could hang for 45 seconds with hands on separate monkey bars. In Week 10, he successfully swung from one rung to the next, with his trailing hand moving to join the leading hand. When he tried to reach the trailing hand beyond the leading hand to the next rung, he lost grip and fell from the bar.

On the bicycle, George was able to sit on the saddle and push the ground with his feet to glide. George seemed to be afraid of losing balance and falling, looking down and dragging his feet on the ground, not gliding with his feet off the ground. To counter his fear of falling, the bicycle was fixed on a stationary bike stand, and George started looking straight ahead. Then George rode a bicycle with training wheels, and later without training wheels. By Week 10, George could glide on a flat surface for approximately 5meters.

Concerning the home program, the father was not confident to teach and found it challenging to motivate George to practice at home due to lack of time and fatigue after school. Upon the parent's request in Week 9, the student teachers developed a strength training routine that George practiced in the morning: bicep curls, bent-over row, squat and modified push-ups with knees on the floor. The strength training was implemented successfully, and in follow-up email communication at Week 23 George's father reported they continued to use it.

Case description 2: Brigid

Brigid is a seven-year old girl who has been diagnosed by a paediatrician with developmental dyspraxia and movement coordination disorder. The paediatrician described that Brigid's running gait cycle was underdeveloped, as well as her ability to hop and jump. The clinic was recommended to practice repetitive patterning and increase general strength over her whole body. By consulting with Brigid and her mother, Brigid's student teachers set clinic goals to hold a handstand, perform cartwheels, swing on monkey bars for three rungs, trap and kick a football, and hop three times on one foot.

Brigid was highly motivated to learn how to perform the handstand, cartwheel, and swing on the monkey bars to catch up with other children at school. From Week 2 to 5, the student teachers supported her to perform a handstand whilst leaning against the wall. Under the parent's supervision, Brigid practiced what she learned in clinic at home. Despite this, her rudimentary gymnastics skill levels plateaued between Weeks 5-10.

With the monkey bars, Brigid could hold the same bar with both hands for a few seconds in Week 2, and improved to hold onto separate bars and then swing one hand to join the other hand by Week 4. Too shy to practice this skill in front of other pupils at school, Brigid practiced at school on evenings and weekends for three or four times per week alongside the clinic practice. Yet, Brigid could hang onto the monkey bar no more

than three seconds in Week 10.

With regard to ball skills and hopping, Brigid learned to trap and kick a football into a goal, and she could hop on one leg three times by Week 10. Like the other skills, Brigid frequently practiced these skills at home under the parent's supervision. *Case description 3: Philip*

Philip, a nine-year-old boy, was referred by a paediatrician who diagnosed him with dyslexia and learning difficulty. He did not feel confident to play with classmates and did not participate in physical activities. The paediatrician suggested working on ball skills, such as kicking, catching, and throwing.

Consulting with Philip and his mother, a pair of student teachers set specific longterm objectives for ball skills, jumping rope, bicycle riding, tying shoelaces and handwriting. Philip worked on soccer, basketball, netball, and rugby ball skills. By Week 10, he achieved the objectives of catching, dribbling, and shooting a basketball; trapping a soccer ball and dribbling around five cones in a line; and kicking a soccer ball into a goal from ten metres away. Philip also improved his jump rope skills, from stepping forward one foot at a time in Week 2; to three consecutive steps while running in Week 3; eight consecutive steps in Week 4; and ten consecutive steps in Week 10.

Bicycle riding was practiced in four clinic sessions and at home on a rural farm. Initially, Philip was afraid of taking his feet off the ground and did not want to pedal due to a fear of falling. In Week 3, he could glide down a gentle slope at the clinic for 4 meters independently. In Week 4, Philip could pedal the bicycle further than eight meters. In Week 7, he practiced gliding down a slope at home. In Week 10, at the clinic he could pedal independently in a line for 10 meters and manoeuvre the bicycle around cones. The parent reported that she had often found it difficult to motivate her son to practice cycle skills. One day, she decided to walk fast and let Philip follow her on the bicycle to feed horses on the farm. This tactic worked, not for the sake of learning itself, but because of the real-life context.

Philip was reluctant to practice fine motor skills. To learn how to tie shoelaces, he started with making a "bridge" and the first loop in Week 2; wrapped the loop with the lace in Week 3; and had difficulties in remembering where the lace should go without guidance in Week 4 and 5. The student teachers changed the task from shoelaces to tying a long rope around the waist, which he achieved in Week 9 and 10. However, this skill was not transferred to tying shoelaces. At home, for handwriting practice Philip wrote three stories

on topics of his choice.

Philip enjoyed and responded to the clinic program extremely well by interacting with student teachers positively and practicing the planned activities thoroughly. Although Philip was not so keen to participate in the home program, he practiced handwriting and bicycle skills when encouraged by the parent. Overall, the home program effectively supplemented the clinic program.

Case description 4: John

John is a nine-year old boy who was referred by a pediatrician with a diagnosis of dyslexia and learning difficulty. John is described as lacking confidence to play with classmates and not participating in physical activities. The paediatrician recommended John to work on ball skills, such as kicking, catching, and throwing.

John's student teachers set clinic learning objectives to intercept, dribble, and shoot a basketball, a hockey puck, and a soccer ball. The student teachers and John decided on extra goals as well, such as jump rope for ten consecutive jumps; ride a bicycle for ten metres; and tie a double bow. By Week 10, he achieved all clinic objectives except tying a double bow. He could make a loop and wrap the loop with the lace. But he forgot where the lace should go without the student teachers' guidance.

John's parent reported that no FFI was practiced at home. She only wrote in the FFI Workbook while waiting for John at the clinic. She wrote "some frustration and yet, trying to keep it fun and light hearted" in the Workbook log. She also reported that she once left a hockey stick in the hallway at home, and that John had spontaneously played hockey with his sister inside the house.

Fidelity and feasibility of the clinic and home programs

To check the fidelity of simultaneously delivering the two programs, the endpoint parental survey was administered. All four parents reported their satisfaction at 80 %. Their positive comments on the clinic program also indicated fidelity of the clinic intervention. Three children fully participated in all seven clinic sessions, and one child was absent from one session due to illness. Table 2 shows the response to the FFI varied among the four families. All parents completed most of the workbook sections. The frequency of parents' workbook log entries ranged from 0 to 8 over 7 weeks. Only Brigid's family achieved the minimum standard of once a week for acceptable fidelity. Parents reported that children were less motivated to practice at home on weeks when the clinic programme was not held due to school holidays.

Discussion

Concurrent implementation of clinic and home intervention programs worked for two of the four children with DCD. Participation in the clinic program was consistently high, but participation in the home program varied, depending on the environment, the relationships with family members, available time, and the family priority and commitment.

All four children responded well to the clinic program with respect to attendance and engagement in activities, but their participation in the home program varied, depending on the aforementioned factors. Although the children's target movement skills improved to various degrees, their endpoint standard assessment results were not well beyond the diagnostic cut-off of the 15th percentile on the DCDQ, the MABC-2 Checklist or Test. These findings on the standardised assessment are fairly consistent with the evidence from a careful systematic review and meta-analysis (Miyahara et al, 2017) that revealed little improvement on the standard assessment. The best lesson gleaned from the present work is a confirmation not to focus on the improvement of the standardised assessment scores as an effect of a home program, but on the implementation of the home program to support struggling families.

As in the case of our pilot study (Miyahara et al., 2009), the two families who successfully implemented the home program were highly educated and conscientious parents who were willing to spare time throughout their busy schedules to practice at home; these families were persistent and kept practicing as in the case of Case 2, Brigid, even when the skill progress was not observed. In addition to such a parental feature, the parent-child relationships in these families seemed to be more favourable than in the other families. Despite the best efforts of the clinicians and researchers, there may not be one miracle program or strategy to encourage all families to start home practice right away. If that is the case, direct service from clinic, school, or community is indispensable to support the families.

Acknowledgement: We thank for all children and their family members who participated in this study, and pre-service student teachers for teaching the children.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: Author.
- Cadzow, A., Miyahara, M., & Cutfield, R. (2017). A longitudinal case study approach to describing a boy with development coordination disorder experiencing transitive

intervention services towards inclusive school- and community-based physical activities. In C. M. A. J.S. Morin, D. Tracey, & R. G. Craven (Ed.), *Inclusive Physical Activities: International Perspectives* (Vol. A volume in the series: International Advances in Education: Global Initiatives for Equity and Social Justice, pp. 31-52). Scottsdatel, AZ: Information Age Publishing.

- Kluwe, M., Miyahara, M., & Heveldt, K. (2012). A case study to evaluate balance training with movement test items and through teaching observation: Beyond specificity and transfer of learning. Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy, 17(5), 463-475. doi:10.1080/17408989.2011.5944284
- Miyahara, M. (2020). Physical literacy as a framework of assessment and intervention for children and youth with Developmental Coordination Disorder: A narrative critical review of conventional practice and proposal for future directions. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(12), 4313. doi:10.3390/ijerph17124313
- Miyahara, M., Butson, R., Cutfield, R., & Clarkson, J. E. (2009). A pilot study of Family-Focused Tele-Intervention for Children with Developmental Coordination Disorder: development and lessons learned. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, 15(7), 707-712. doi:10.1089/tmj.2009.0022
- Miyahara, M., Hillier, S. L., Pridham, L., & Nakagawa, S. (2017). Task-oriented interventions for children with developmental co-ordination disorder. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, 7, Art. No.: CD010914. DOI: 010910.011002/14651858.CD14010914.pub14651852.
- Miyahara, M., Leeder, T., Francis, G., & Inghelbrecht, A. (2008). Does an instruction of a verbal labeling strategy for hand movements improve general motor coordination as well as the gestural performance?: A test of the relationship between developmental coordination disorder and dyspraxia. *Clinical Case Studies*, 7, 191-207.

Table 1. Case characteristics (N=4)

Case Number: Pseydonym	Case 1: George	Case 2: Brigid	Case 3: Philip	Case 4: John
Age (Years)	10	7	9	9
Medical Diagnosis	Suspected Dyspraxia	Developmental dyspraxia, movement coordination disorder	Dyslexia, learning difficulities	Dyslexia, learning difficulities
Assessment				
MABC2-Checklist [raw score (%ile)]	44 (<5th %tile)	40 (<5th %tile)) 20 (<5th %tile)) 24 (<5th %tile)
DCDQ'07 [raw score (diagnostic category)]	43 (pDCD) 34 (pDCD)) 37 (pDCD)) 51 (pDCD)
MABC-2 Test [%ile (diagnstic category)]	2 (pDCD)	9 (pDCD)	16 (pDCD)	16 (pDCD)
Manual dexterity (%ile)	25	5 16	6 16	37
Aiming & Catching (%ile)	0.1	1 9) 1	0.1
Balance (%ile)	ę	9 25	5 91	63

Note. MABC-2: Movement Assessment Battery for Children, Second Edition; pDCD: probable DCD;

DCDQ'07: Developmental Coordination Disorder Questionnaire 2007

Table 2. Comletion of Home Program Workbook sections and parental interviews on Home Program.

Case 1: George	Case 2: Brigid	Case 3: Philip	Case 4: John
	Case 1: George	Case 1: George Case 2: Brigid	Case 1: George Case 2: Brigid Case 3: Philip

Note:

Completed

Uncompleted

Parent Log (frequency)	C)	8 :	3 3
Child Log (frequency)	C	0 3		3 2
Feasibility	Very low	High	Medium	Medium
Exit interview	Found it hard to	The Home	The Home	The Home
	fill in the Home	Program helped	Program	Program
	Program	parents to think	workbook helped	workbook was
	workbook.	about barriers to	to focus that	good to work
	Material can be	Brigid practising	parents on what	through and
	"confrontational".	homework and	activities to	identify tasks to
	The strained	steps they can	practice and also	work on, and the
	relationship	take to eliminate	breaking down	homework log
	between father	or lessen them.	the activities.	provided a good
	and son was	DVD for bike		diary of sessions
	challenging for	riding and the		that were done.
	analysing	Home Program		
	movement and	workbook were		
	motor skills.	important for		
		including child's		
		perspectives on		
		tasks.		

(g) legends/cutline information for photographs and other illustrations

Table 1. Descriptive details of case studies (N = 4)

Table 2. Completion of Family Focused Intervention (FFI) Workbook sections and parental interviews on FFI

(h) a brief biographical sketch

After finishing his 24 years of service for the 70 years old Movement Development Clinic in New Zealand, which has since ceased operation, Motohide Miyahara is currently a freelance independent scholar, based in New Zealand, affiliated with the Japan Center for Evidence Based Practice, and Institute of Ars Vivendi, Ritsumeikan University in Japan. Michael Culling serves as a coordinator for the Active Families program in Sports Otago. Clare Green is a Registered Occupational Therapist in Dunedin, New Zealand. She currently works as a behavioural specialist with adults who have intellectual disabilities. She was a Student Teacher and Teaching Assistant at the Movement Development Clinic during her Physical Education studies at the University of Otago, Dunedin.