Activated MET acts as a salvage signal after treatment with alectinib, a selective ALK inhibitor, in ALK-positive non-small cell lung cancer
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Abstract. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) carrying echinoderm microtubule-associated protein-like 4 (EML4)-anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) rearrangements is hypersensitive to ALK inhibitors, including crizotinib and alectinib. Crizotinib was initially designed as a MET inhibitor, whereas alectinib is a selective ALK inhibitor. The MET signal, which is inhibited by crizotinib but not by alectinib, is dysregulated in many human cancers. However, the role of the MET signal in ALK-positive NSCLC remains unclear. In this study, we found that hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), ligand of MET, mediated the resistance to alectinib, but not to crizotinib, via the MET signal in ALK-positive NSCLC cell lines (H3122 and H2228 cell lines). In addition, alectinib activated the MET signal even in the absence of HGF and the inhibition of the MET signal enhanced the efficacy of alectinib. These findings suggest that activated MET acts as a salvage signal in ALK-positive NSCLC. This novel role of the MET signal in ALK-positive NSCLC may pave the way for further clinical trials examining MET inhibitors.

Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related death for both men and women worldwide (1). Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for ~80% of lung cancers and the prognosis of patients with advanced NSCLC remains very poor despite advances in treatment (2).

For most individuals with advanced NSCLC, cytotoxic chemotherapy is the mainstay of treatment based on the moderate improvement in survival that it confers. However, the outcome of chemotherapy in such patients has reached a plateau in terms of the response rate (25-35%) and overall survival period (OS; 8-10 months) (3,4). One promising treatment strategy involves the further subdivision of NSCLC into clinically relevant molecular subsets according to a classification schema based on specific so-called oncogenic driver mutations. These mutations occur in genes that encode signal proteins crucial for cellular proliferation and survival. Thus, cancer might rely on the expression of these single oncogenes for survival. This concept is also called oncogene addiction (5). The most prevalent mutated or rearranged oncogenes identified in NSCLC are KRAS, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK), and ROS1, among others (6). The identification of EGFR mutations as one type of oncogenic driver mutation in a subset of patients with NSCLC, coupled with the development of EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs), has opened new ways to treat this disease (7-10). Recently, a novel fusion transcript with transforming activity that is formed by the translocation of echinoderm microtubule-associated protein-like 4 (EML4) (2p21) and ALK (2p23) has been described in a subset of NSCLCs (11). The EML4-ALK rearrangement has been identified in 5-10% of NSCLC cases and ALK inhibitors have shown marked antitumor effects in such tumors (11-14). However, some of these tumors are resistant to inhibitors and acquired resistance to ALK inhibitors has been already found to limit the therapeutic potential of these agents (15-17); thus, investigations of more effective strategies are warranted.

Crizotinib was the first clinically available ALK inhibitor for ALK-positive NSCLC in the world. This drug, however, was initially designed as a MET inhibitor and also exhibits ROS1 and RON kinase inhibitory activity (18). In contrast, second-generation ALK inhibitors (i.e., alectinib and ceritinib) are selective ALK inhibitors that are effective against second-site
mutations of the ALK domain that induce resistance to crizotinib (19-21). The MET signal, which is inhibited by crizotinib but not by alectinib, is dysregulated in many human cancers and promotes tumor growth, invasion and dissemination. Abnormalities in the MET signal are reportedly correlated with poor clinical outcomes and drug resistance in patients with cancer, including lung cancer (22-25). Especially, MET amplification and its ligand, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), have been observed in EGFR-mutated NSCLC cells that are resistant to EGFR-TKIs (26,27). In ALK-positive NSCLC, however, the role of the MET signal remains unclear. In the present study, the role of the MET signal in ALK-positive NSCLC, especially its inhibition by alectinib, was investigated.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell cultures, ligands and reagents.** The H3122 and H2228 cell lines (NSCLC cell lines with EML4-ALK rearrangements) were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). HGF was purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA). Crizotinib and alectinib (ALK inhibitors) and PHA-665752 (a MET inhibitor) were purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA).

**In vitro growth inhibition assay.** The growth-inhibitory effects were examined using a 3, 4, 5-dimethyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide assay (MTT; Sigma-Aldrich), as described previously (28). Briefly, 180 µl/well of the cell suspension (2,000/well) was seeded onto 96-well microculture plates and incubated in 10% FBS-containing medium for 24 h. The cells were treated with crizotinib or alectinib at various concentrations and were cultured at 37˚C in a humidified atmosphere for 72 h. When the culture period, 20 µl of MTT reagent were added and the plates were further incubated for 2 h. After centrifugation of the plates, the culture medium was discarded and the wells were filled with dimethyl-sulfoxide. The absorbance of the cultures at 570 nm was measured using the NRLight 300 microplate reader (Tecan, New York, NY, USA).

**Western blot analysis.** A western blot analysis was performed as described previously (28). Briefly, subconfluent cells were washed with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and harvested with lysis A buffer containing 1% Triton X-100, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0), 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM sodium chloride, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate and the protease inhibitor mix Complete™ (Roche Diagnostics; Basel, Switzerland). Whole-cell lysates were separated using SDS-PAGE and were blotted onto a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane. After blocking with 3% bovine serum albumin in a TBS buffer (pH 8.0) with 0.1% Tween-20, the membrane was probed with the primary antibody. After rinsing twice with TBS buffer, the membrane was incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody and washed, followed by visualization using an ECL detection system and LAS-4000 (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK).

**Real-time reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR).** One microgram of total RNA from cultured cell lines was converted to cDNA using the GeneAmp RNA-PCR kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Real-time PCR was performed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq and Thermal Cycler Dice (Takara, Shiga, Japan), as described previously (29). The glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPD, NM_002046) gene was used to normalize the expression levels in subsequent quantitative analyses. The experiment was performed in triplicate. To amplify the target genes encoding HGF and MET, the following primers were used: HGF-F, TTAAACTCCTGGCCT CAAGCAATC; HGF-R, TTCTATCTTGGCCAAAGCAA CTG; MET-F, TGATACCGGAGACAGTGTCG; and MET-R, TAGCAAGCTCAACGGCAAAGTTC.

**Statistical analysis.** Continuous variables were analyzed using the Student's t-test and the results were expressed as the average and standard deviations (SD). The statistical analyses were two-tailed and were performed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA). A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**HGF induced resistance to alectinib but not to crizotinib.** Crizotinib exhibits MET inhibitory activity, but alectinib does not. Then, HGF, a MET ligand, can be associated with resistance to alectinib, but not to crizotinib, via the MET signal. To examine the influence of HGF, the sensitivities of H3122 and H2228 cell lines to crizotinib or alectinib were tested with or without HGF (10 ng/ml) using an MT assay. Both cell lines were sensitive to crizotinib, even in the presence of HGF, whereas these cell lines were resistant to alectinib in the presence of HGF (Fig. 1A). The 50% inhibitory concentrations (IC50) of crizotinib were 0.10 without HGF and 0.11 with HGF in the H3122 cell line and 0.48 without HGF and 0.58 µM with HGF in the H2228 cell line, respectively (Table 1). The IC50 values of alectinib were 0.023 without HGF and 0.61 with HGF in the H3122 cell line and 2.26 without HGF and 8.95 µM with HGF in the H2228 cell line, respectively.

**Crizotinib, but not alectinib, inhibited the MET signal activated by HGF.** Next, to investigate the influence of HGF on the MET signal, western blot analyses were performed. The cells were treated with ALK inhibitors for 3 h and HGF was added 30 min before sample collection. Alectinib (0.05 µM) did not inhibit the phosphorylation of MET activated by HGF (10 ng/ml), whereas crizotinib (0.1 µM) inhibited HGF-induced MET phosphorylation (Fig. 1B). HGF also phosphorylated two downstream signals, AKT and ERK, and this phosphorylation was cancelled by crizotinib, but not by alectinib. These findings indicated that HGF is associated with resistance to a selective ALK inhibitor, alectinib, but not to crizotinib in ALK-positive NSCLC via the MET signal.
Alectinib activates the MET signal even without HGF. To investigate the long-term influence of ALK inhibitors on the MET signal, western blot analyses were performed in a time-dependent manner. Three hours after treatment with alectinib (0.05 µM), the phosphorylation of MET was not changed (Fig. 1B), whereas longer alectinib treatment periods (12, 24 or 48 h) activated the MET signal (Fig. 2). Furthermore, downstream signals, such as AKT and ERK, were also activated along with the activated MET signal. In contrast to alectinib, crizotinib (0.1 µM) did not activate but instead inhibited the MET signal (Fig. 2B). These findings suggest that the MET signal is activated after treatment with alectinib, even in the absence of HGF. The mRNA expression levels of HGF and MET examined using real-time RT-PCR did not change after alectinib treatment (data not shown). Previous studies have

**Table I. IC₅₀ values of alectinib and crizotinib with or without HGF.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>H3122 (µM)</th>
<th>H2228 (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HGF (-)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGF (+)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crizotinib (µM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alectinib (µM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.61*</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.61*</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>8.95*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IC₅₀, 50% inhibitory concentration; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor.

**Figure 1.** Sensitivities to ALK inhibitors (crizotinib and alectinib) in ALK-positive cell lines with or without HGF and western blot analyses. (A) Growth inhibitory effect in the H3122 and H2228 cell lines. To examine the sensitivity of ALK inhibitors, we used an MTT assay; HGF was used at a concentration of 10 ng/ml. The experiment was performed in triplicate. HGF induced resistance to alectinib in both cell lines. In contrast, it did not induce resistance to crizotinib. Lines, mean of independent triplicate experiments. (B) Western blot analyses in the H3122 cell line. The cells were treated with ALK inhibitors for 3 h and HGF was then added 30 min before sample collection. Alectinib and crizotinib were used at concentrations of 0.05 and 0.1 µM, respectively. Alectinib did not inhibit the HGF-induced phosphorylation of MET, whereas crizotinib inhibited the phosphorylation. HGF also phosphorylated two downstream signals, AKT and ERK, and these effects were cancelled by crizotinib, but not by alectinib.

**Figure 2.** Time-dependent western blot analyses after treatment with ALK inhibitors in the H3122 cell line. Alectinib (A) and crizotinib (B) were used at concentrations of 0.05 and 0.1 µM, respectively; HGF was not administered. Both alectinib and crizotinib decreased the phosphorylation of ALK. In contrast, long-term treatment with alectinib (12, 24 or 48 h) increased the phosphorylation of MET. Furthermore, two downstream signals, AKT and ERK, were also activated, along with the activated MET signal. In contrast to alectinib, crizotinib did not activate but instead inhibited the MET signal. The phosphorylation of HER family was unchanged. β-actin was used as an internal control.
Figure 3. Combination therapy with a MET inhibitor in ALK-positive cell lines. To examine the synergistic effect of a MET inhibitor (PHA-66752), we used an MTT assay. (A) Sensitivity of the ALK-positive cell lines to PHA-66752. Both the H3122 and H2228 cell lines were not sensitive to PHA-66752. The IC50 values of H3122 and H2228 were 5.83 and 3.68 µM, respectively. Lines, mean of independent triplicate experiments. (B) Synergistic effect of ALK inhibitors and a MET inhibitor. Alectinib, crizotinib and PHA-66752 were used at concentrations of 0.05, 0.1 and 0.5 µM, respectively. PHA-66752 alone did not influence proliferation in either the H3122 or H2228 cell line. In contrast, PHA-66752 enhanced the efficacy of alectinib, but not of crizotinib, in both cell lines. Columns, mean of independent triplicate experiments; error bars, SD; *P<0.05.

Figure 4. Western blot analyses for the combination of ALK inhibitors and a MET inhibitor in the H3122 cell line. Alectinib, crizotinib, and PHA-66752 were used at concentrations of 0.05, 0.1 and 0.5 µM, respectively. The cells were treated with the inhibitors for 12 h before sample collection. (A) Effect of alectinib and a MET inhibitor (PHA-66752). Alectinib alone decreased the phosphorylation of ALK but increased the phosphorylation of MET. PHA-66752 alone did not influence the phosphorylation of ALK but decreased the phosphorylation of MET. The combination decreased the phosphorylation of both ALK and MET, resulting in greatly decreased downstream signals (AKT and ERK). β-actin was used as an internal control. (B) Effect of crizotinib and a MET inhibitor (PHA-66752). Crizotinib alone decreased the phosphorylation of both ALK and MET, resulting in greatly decreased downstream signals (AKT and ERK). β-actin was used as an internal control.
shown that HER signals are related to resistance to ALK inhibitors (30,31) and these signals exhibit crosstalk with the MET signal (22-24). Therefore, the phosphorylation of EGFR and HER2 were investigated, but no changes were observed (Fig. 2).

A MET inhibitor enhances the efficacy of alectinib. To determine whether the inhibition of the activated MET signal enhances the efficacy of alectinib, combination therapy consisting of alectinib and a MET inhibitor, PHA-66752, was evaluated. Neither of the cell lines was sensitive to PHA-66752, with IC₅₀ values of 5.83 and 3.68 µM for the H3122 and H2228 cell lines, respectively (Fig. 3A). PHA-66752 (0.5 µM) alone did not inhibit the cellular growth of the H3122 and H2228 cell lines, but its combination with alectinib enhanced the efficacy of alectinib (Fig. 3B). In contrast to alectinib, the combination of PHA-66752 and crizotinib did not enhance the efficacy of crizotinib (Fig. 3B). Western blot analyses revealed that the phosphorylation of MET at 12 h after treatment with alectinib was inhibited by PHA-66752, and the AKT and ERK downstream signals were also inhibited (Fig. 4A). Because the phosphorylation of MET was inhibited by crizotinib, the addition of PHA-66752 had no effect on the MET and downstream signals (Fig. 4B). These findings indicated that the inhibition of the activated MET signal enhanced the efficacy of alectinib, but not of crizotinib.

Discussion

Alectinib has been identified as a potent, selective, ALK inhibitor that is effective against most second-site mutations of the ALK domain, notably L1196M and C1156Y, with a 10-fold stronger potency than crizotinib (19,20). This drug was tested in a phase I/II study that enrolled 46 ALK-positive crizotinib-naive Japanese patients; a response rate of 94% was reported and the drug seemed to have fewer adverse events than those associated with crizotinib (14). Therefore, in the future, this drug might play a central role in the treatment of ALK-positive NSCLC. Crizotinib, the first available ALK inhibitor, exhibits MET inhibitory activity, whereas alectinib does not exert such an activity (18). In this study, we found that HGF mediated resistance to alectinib, but not to crizotinib, via the MET signal, that alectinib activated the MET signal even in the absence of HGF and that the inhibition of MET enhanced the efficacy of alectinib in ALK-positive NSCLC cell lines. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to discuss the role of the MET signal in ALK-positive NSCLC.

Uncontrolled cell survival, growth, angiogenesis and metastasis are essential hallmarks of cancer (32). HGF and its receptor, MET, have a causal role in all of these processes, thus providing a strong rationale for targeting these molecules in cancer. In addition, the MET signal has been frequently implicated in resistance to targeted therapies (22-25). Especially, MET amplification and its ligand, HGF, are found in EGFR-mutated NSCLC cells that are resistant to EGFR-TKIs (26,27). ALK-positive NSCLC resistant to crizotinib includes secondary ALK mutations, ALK gene amplification, the activation of other kinases and the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (15-17,30,33). To overcome these mechanisms, second-generation ALK inhibitors, HSP90 inhibitors and combinations with other kinase inhibitors have been tried. In contrast to EGFR-TKI or alectinib, crizotinib exhibits MET inhibitory activity; therefore, resistance associated with the MET signal has not been reported. Because of the absence of MET inhibitory activity, the resistance to alectinib may be associated with the MET signal and we found that HGF mediated the resistance to alectinib, but not to crizotinib, via the MET signal. Similar to our present study, a previous study revealed that fibroblast-derived HGF-induced MET activation caused resistance to TEA658 (another ALK inhibitor without MET inhibitory activity) but not to crizotinib (34). Most human cancers are composed of cancer cells that coexist with a variety of extracellular matrix components and cell types, including fibroblasts. Therefore, alectinib might be less effective in such environments. Moreover, without HGF stimulation, the MET signal was activated after the treatment of alectinib and the inhibition of the activated MET signal enhanced the efficacy of alectinib. Indeed, a case of alectinib resistance induced by MET amplification has been reported and a recent study showed that ALK-positive NSCLC exhibited a high level of MET expression (35,36). These findings suggest that the MET signal can salvage the growth and survival of ALK-positive NSCLC after the inhibition of the ALK signal (Fig. 5). The expressions of HGF and MET after treatment with alectinib were unchanged. Several crosstalk mechanisms between MET and other signals have been reported (22-24) and the phosphorylation of HER family was investigated, but no changes were observed. MET interaction with many other membrane receptors has been reported and a recent study demonstrated that EGFR, a transcription factor, could sustain MET signal after ALK inhibition (24,37). We speculate that these might be associated with our findings.

Extensive preclinical work has been done on MET inhibitors, including monoclonal antibodies and kinase inhibitors and this work has led to further clinical trials examining these agents (22-25). Clinical trials of combination therapy with EGFR-TKIs in patients with acquired resistance to EGFR-
TKIs have also been performed. Our experiments showed that the MET signal salvaged the growth and survival of ALK-positive NSCLC after treatment with a selective ALK inhibitor, which might play a central role in the treatment of ALK-positive NSCLC. In addition, the inhibition of the MET signal enhanced the efficacy of a selective ALK inhibitor. These findings are expected to promote novel clinical trials of MET inhibitors.

In conclusion, we found that HGF mediated resistance to alectinib, but not to crizotinib, via the MET signal, that alectinib activated the MET signal even in the absence of HGF and that the inhibition of MET enhanced the efficacy of alectinib in ALK-positive NSCLC cell lines. These findings suggest that activated MET acts as a salvage signal in ALK-positive NSCLC. This novel role of the MET signal in ALK-positive NSCLC may pave the way for further clinical trials examining MET inhibitors.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mr. Shinji Kurashimo, Mr. Yoshitohiro Mine, Ms. Eiko Honda, Ms. Tomoko Kitayama, and Ms. Ayaka Kurumatani for their technical assistance. We thank Dr P.A. Jänne (Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA) for providing the H3122 cell line. Kurumatani for their technical assistance. We thank Dr P.A. Jänne and Dr P.A. Jänne for providing the H3122 cell line.

References