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## Information.

Recoding \& slide of Yoshida's talk can be seen in web: L. H. Kauffman's web, "Quantum Topology Seminar".

## Question.

What is crossing change on Khovanov homology?

## Question.

What is crossing change on Khovanov homology? Traditionally, using link cobordisms, we have

$$
\lambda=\lambda \xrightarrow{\text { saddle }}\rangle\left\langle\xrightarrow{R_{1}^{2}} \searrow\langle(\xrightarrow{\text { saddle }} \chi<=X .\right.
$$

It will induce a map

$$
K h(X) \rightarrow K h(X)
$$

However, the degree does not preserve even if the crossing change does not change the knot.
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## Modified Question / Answer

How to realize crossing change on Khovanov homology preserving the degree of Jones polynomial toward categorified Vassiliev theory?

Answer: $\Phi:=\square-\infty$; Note:
Lemma 1. The following is a 0 -sequence; i.e. the compositions of adjacent two morphisms vanish:

cf. TQFT $Z_{0,0}: \operatorname{Cob}_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{k} ; Z_{0,0}\left(S^{1}\right)=A$ : Frobenius:

cf. retraction for RI invariance:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1) d(x \rightarrow 1) \neq x-x) \neq(1 . \\
& (1) \rightarrow(1)+x(1)-2 x(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 1 (Yoshida, I. Categorified Vassiliev skein relation). For every $h, t \in k$, there is a long exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow H^{i} Z_{h, t} \llbracket \backslash \backslash \xrightarrow{\hat{\Phi}} H^{i} Z_{h, t} \llbracket \backslash \rrbracket \rightarrow H^{i} Z_{h, t} \llbracket X \rrbracket$

$$
\longrightarrow H^{i+1} Z_{h, t} \llbracket \backslash \backslash \xrightarrow{\widehat{\$}} H^{i+1} Z_{h, t} \llbracket \backslash \rrbracket \rightarrow H^{i+1} Z_{h, t} \llbracket X \rrbracket \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Corollary 1 (Yoshida, I. Categorified Vassiliev skein relation). For every $h, t \in k$, there is a long exact sequence
$\cdots \rightarrow H^{i} Z_{h, t} \llbracket \backslash \backslash \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Phi}} H^{i} Z_{h, t} \llbracket \backslash \rrbracket \rightarrow H^{i} Z_{h, t} \llbracket X \rrbracket$

$$
\longrightarrow H^{i+1} Z_{h, t} \llbracket \backslash \backslash \xrightarrow{\widehat{\$}} H^{i+1} Z_{h, t} \llbracket \backslash \rrbracket \rightarrow H^{i+1} Z_{h, t} \llbracket X \rrbracket \rightarrow \cdots
$$

(1) (1) $\rightarrow$ (1) $x+x$ (1) $-2 x$ (1)
$\Phi:=\square-$ A.

Definition 1. Define $\operatorname{Cob}_{2}^{\ell}\left(Y_{0}, Y_{1}\right)$ to be the $k$-linear additive category generated by

- objects are (oriented) 1-cobordisms $W: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y_{1}$;
- morphisms are (diffeo. classes of) 2-cobordisms with corners (aka. 2-bordisms).

The morphisms are subject to the following relations:
$S$-relation $S \amalg S^{2} \sim 0$ for $S: W_{0} \rightarrow W_{1}$;
$T$-relation $S \amalg T^{2} \sim 2 \cdot S$ for $S: W_{0} \rightarrow W_{1}$;


## Definition 2. - $c(D)$ : the set of crossings in $D$.

- Each subset $s \subset c(D)$ is called a state on $D$.
$\rightsquigarrow|s|$ : the cardinality.
- For each state $s \subset c(D)$, define $D_{s} \subset \mathbb{R} \times[0,1]$ by the following smoothing on each crossing:


$$
\frac{C \notin S}{0-\text { smoothing }}
$$

$$
\chi_{c}
$$



Definition 3. $D$ : a tangle diag.; $s$ : a state, $c \in c(D) \backslash s$.

- Define $\delta_{c}: D_{s} \rightarrow D_{s \cup\{c\}} \in \operatorname{Cob}_{2}^{\ell}\left(\partial_{0} D, \partial_{1} D\right):$

$$
\delta_{c}=5: \precsim \rightarrow><.
$$

- Define a chain complex $\left\langle\langle D\rangle\right.$ in $\operatorname{Cob}_{2}^{\ell}\left(\partial_{0} D, \partial_{1} D\right)$ by

$$
\langle D\rangle\rangle^{i}:=\bigoplus D_{s} \otimes E_{s}, \quad d:=\sum \delta_{c} \otimes(\wedge c)
$$

$\bullet \llbracket D \rrbracket^{i}:=\langle\langle D\rangle\rangle^{i+n_{-}}, \quad d_{\llbracket D \rrbracket}=(-1)^{n_{-}} d_{\langle D\rangle}$.

Known Theorem 1 (Khovanov2000). A bigraded chain complex $C^{*, \star}(D)$ (of abelian groups) for each link diagram $D$ so that

$$
K h^{i, j}(D):=H^{i}\left(C^{*, j}(D)\right)
$$

is invariant under Reidemeister moves.
This is nowadays called Khovanov homology.
Known Theorem 2 (Bar-Natan2005). The complex $\llbracket D \rrbracket$ is invariant under Reidemeister moves up to chain homotopy equivalences.

Theorem 1 (Yoshida, I., arXiv:2005.12664). There is a non-trivial map

$$
\widehat{\Phi}: K h(X) \rightarrow K h(X)
$$

of bidegree $(0,0)$. Furthermore, it is invariant under moves with respect to double points.

Definition 4. We define $\Phi:\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\rangle \rightarrow\langle\rangle\rangle\rangle$ by

$$
\Phi:=\square-\Gamma: \ggg \ggg
$$

Lemma 1. The following is a 0 -sequence; i.e. the compositions of adjacent two morphisms vanish:

$$
\langle\langle\cong\rangle \xrightarrow{\delta}\langle\rangle\rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\Phi}\langle\rangle\rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{\delta}\langle\langle\cong\rangle\rangle .
$$

$$
\text { Proof: } 5 \bigcirc \text { and } O \text { A }
$$

## Goal

1. Construction of $\widehat{\Phi}$ in terms of cobordisms.
2. $\widehat{\Phi}$ extends Khovanov homology to singular links via a categorified Vassiliev skein relation.
3. A categorified FI relation; i.e. $K h(\not \bigcirc)=0$ as the first formula of weight system.

Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\rangle\rangle\rangle\rangle \cong \operatorname{Cone}(\langle\langle\searrow\rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{-\delta}\langle\rangle\rangle\rangle)[1] \\
& \langle\rangle\rangle\rangle \cong \operatorname{Cone}(\langle\rangle\rangle\rangle \xrightarrow{-\delta}\langle\langle\cong\rangle\rangle)[1] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 5. We define the genus-one morphism induced by the sequence in Lemma 1:

$$
\widehat{\Phi}:\langle\langle \rangle\rangle\rangle\rangle \rightarrow\langle\langle\rangle\rangle\rangle[1]
$$

Remark 2. After the normalization of degree and grading, we get a morphism of bidegree $(0,0)$ :

$$
\widehat{\Phi}: \llbracket \backslash \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \backslash \rrbracket .
$$



Proposition 3. The genus-one morphism is invariant under the moves above; i.e. there are homotopy commutative squares

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \simeq \downarrow \\
& \llbracket X] \stackrel{\omega}{\sim}[X]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 4. Suppose we are given a chain-homotopy commutative diagram

with $g f=0, h g=0, g^{\prime} f^{\prime}=0, h^{\prime} g^{\prime}=0, \Psi=\left\{\Psi^{i}: X^{\prime i} \rightarrow\right.$ $\left.Z^{i-2}\right\}_{i}, \Xi=\left\{\Xi^{i}: Y^{\prime i} \rightarrow W^{i-2}\right\}_{i}$ satisfying $d \Psi-\Psi d=g F+G f^{\prime}, d \Xi-\Xi d=h G+H g^{\prime}, h \Psi-\Xi f^{\prime}=0$.

Then, there is a chain-homotopy commutative square
Cone $\left(f^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Cone}\left(h^{\prime}\right)[1]$
$\stackrel{F_{*} \downarrow}{\operatorname{Cone}(f)} \longleftrightarrow \underset{\text { Cone }(h)[1]}{\downarrow H_{*}}$

## Invariance under $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{IV}}$. Apply Proposition 4 to


with

$$
\Psi=\square \otimes \breve{b} \breve{a}, \quad \Xi=\square \otimes \breve{b} \breve{a} .
$$

Invariance under $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{V}}$. The direct computation shows the following (strictly) square commutes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\rangle( \rangle\rangle[1] \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{II}}}\left\langle\left\langle\gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle\right\rangle\right. \\
& \bar{R}_{\text {II }} \downarrow \quad \downarrow_{a} \\
& \left\langle\left\rangle{ }^{\prime} b\right\rangle\right\rangle \xrightarrow{\hat{\Phi}_{b}}\left\langle\left\rangle\left\langle\begin{array}{c} 
\\
\end{array} \frac{a}{b}\right\rangle\right\rangle[1]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

here $\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\text {II }}$ is the chain-homotopy equivalence for 2nd RII.

Theorem 5 (Yoshida, I. [ItoYoshida2020] ). For every singular tangle diagram $D$, there exists a complex $\llbracket D \rrbracket$ in $\operatorname{Cob}_{2}^{\ell}\left(\partial_{0} D, \partial_{1} D\right)$ having an isomorphism

$$
\llbracket X \rrbracket \cong \operatorname{Cone}(\llbracket \backslash \rrbracket \xrightarrow{\Phi} \llbracket \backslash \rrbracket)
$$

$\llbracket D \rrbracket$ is invariant under the moves of singular tangle diagrams.
$\rightsquigarrow$ Applying the $T Q F T Z_{h, t}$, we obtain extensions of $s l_{2}$ homologies, e.g. $K h$ (with arbitrary coeff., $h=t=0$ ), Lee $(\mathbb{Q}, h=0, t=1), B N(\mathbb{Z} / 2, t=0)$, etc. to singular link diagrams.

Corollary 1 (Yoshida, I. Categorified Vassiliev skein relation). For every $h, t \in k$, there is a long exact sequence
$\left.\cdots \rightarrow H^{i} Z_{h, t} \llbracket \lambda\right] \xrightarrow{\Phi} H^{i} Z_{h, t} \llbracket \backslash \rrbracket \rightarrow H^{i} Z_{h, t} \llbracket X \rrbracket$

$$
\longrightarrow H^{i+1} Z_{h, t} \llbracket \backslash \backslash \xrightarrow{\widehat{\$}} H^{i+1} Z_{h, t} \llbracket 久 \rrbracket \rightarrow H^{i+1} Z_{h, t} \llbracket X \rrbracket \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Its decategorification is the Vassiliev skein relation:
$\chi\left(H^{*} Z_{h, t} \llbracket \backslash \rrbracket\right)-\chi\left(H^{*} Z_{h, t} \llbracket \backslash \rrbracket\right)+\chi\left(H^{*} Z_{h, t} \llbracket X \rrbracket\right)=0$.

Theorem 6 (Yoshida, I. The FI relation). If a singular tangle diagram $D$ contains a local tangle of the form

then $\llbracket D \rrbracket$ is contractible; i.e. the identity is null-homotopic.
cf. the FI relation is obtained by comparing the two paths:


## Proof.

The triangle below commutes:

because using categorified Vassiliev skein rel., we have $\llbracket D \rrbracket \simeq 0 \Longleftrightarrow \widehat{\Phi}: \llbracket\rangle \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \backslash / \rrbracket \rrbracket:$ homotopy-equivalence.

## Next Targets

Kauffman's questions at Quantum Topology Seminar:

- How about categorifying weight system ?
- How about $s l_{n}$ ?

