

# Castelnuovo-Mumford 正則量とシジジーに関する話 題について (サーベイ講演)

宮崎 誓 (熊本大学大学院先端科学研究部)

代数学シンポジウム 2021 年 9 月 1 日

# Outline

- 1 Castelnuovo-Mumford 正則量の導入
- 2 Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine の論文
- 3 Lazarsfeld の構成法と Generic Projection Method
- 4 Noma, Kwak-Park による  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -regularity 予想の解決
- 5 Castelnuovo-Mumford 正則量の漸近的性質
- 6 Buchsbaum 環の手法からのアプローチと射影多様体の分類
- 7 McCullough-Peeva による Eisenbud-Goto 予想の否定的解決と Rees-like Algebra
- 8 Castelnuovo-Mumford 正則量と Horrocks の判定法

## Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

## Notation

$k$  : an algebraically closed field

$S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  : the polynomial ring over  $k$

$\mathfrak{m} = S_+ = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$

$\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj } S$

## Definition and Proposition (Mumford)

$\mathcal{F}$  : a coherent sheaf on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\mathcal{F}$  is  $m$ -regular  $\iff H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(m-i)) = 0, i \geq 1$

$\iff H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{F}(j)) = 0, i \geq 1, i+j \geq m \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}(m)$  is generated by global sections

- $\text{reg } \mathcal{F} := \min\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathcal{F} \text{ is } m\text{-regular}\}$
- $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  : a projective scheme  
 $\text{reg } X := \text{reg } \mathcal{I}_X$  : Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

# Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

## Definition and Proposition (Continued)

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $m$ -regular on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , then we have

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $(m + 1)$ -regular, and
- (2)  $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}(m)) \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{F}(m + 1))$  is surjective.

Since  $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}(\ell)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\ell)$  is surjective for  $\ell \gg 0$ , we have  $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}(m)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(m)$  is surjective.

## Remark

In order to extend the definitions of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, say multi-graded, weighted, Grassmannian, or globally generated ample line bundle, we should keep in mind whether the properties above work or not.

- D. Maclagan and G. Smith, Multigraded Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, J. Reine. Angew. Math. 571 (2004).

# Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

## Definition

$M$ : a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module

$$a_i(M) = \max\{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} \mid [H_m^i(M)]_\ell \neq 0\}, \quad i = 0, \dots, n+1$$

$\text{reg } M = \max\{a_i + i \mid i = 0, \dots, n+1\}$  : Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

$d(M)$  : the maximal degree of the minimal generators of  $M$

## Notation

$X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  : a projective scheme

$I := \Gamma_* \mathcal{I}_X = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_X(\ell))$  : the defining ideal of  $X$

$R := S/I$  : the coordinate ring of  $X$

## Remark

$$d(I) \leq \text{reg } I$$

$$\text{reg } X = \text{reg } \mathcal{I}_X = \text{reg } R + 1 = \text{reg } I$$

# Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

Let us take a minimal free resolution of  $I$  as graded  $S$ -module.  
The Syzygy Theorem gives the finiteness.

$$0 \rightarrow F_s \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$$

where,  $F_i = \bigoplus_j S(-\alpha_{i,j})$  is a graded free  $S$ -module.

Each map  $F_{i+1} \rightarrow F_i$  is written as a matrix which components are homogeneous polynomials.

Theorem (cf. Eisenbud-Goto, Bayer-Mumford)

$$\text{reg } X = \max_{i,j} \{\alpha_{i,j} - i\}$$

The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity measures the complexity of the defining ideals of the projective varieties.

# Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

## Proposition (Eisenbud-Goto)

A graded  $S$ -module is  $m$ -regular if and only if  $M_{\geq m} := \bigoplus_{\ell \geq m} M_\ell$  has an  $m$ -linear resolution, that is, the minimal free resolution of  $M_{\geq m}$ :

$$0 \rightarrow F_s \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M_{\geq m} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $F_i = \bigoplus S(-m-i)$  is a graded free  $S$ -module for  $i = 0, \dots, s$ .

## Proof

From an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M_{\geq m} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/M_{\geq m} \rightarrow 0$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_m^0(M_{\geq m}) \rightarrow H_m^0(M) \rightarrow M/M_{\geq m} \rightarrow H_m^1(M_{\geq m}) \rightarrow H_m^1(M) \rightarrow 0$$

and an isomorphism  $H_m^i(M_{\geq m}) \cong H_m^i(M)$ ,  $i \geq 2$ .

Thus we have  $M_{\geq m}$  is  $m$ -regular, which gives the minimal free resolution as desired.

# Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

## Remark

From Lazarsfeld's book, they have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{F}(m)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(m) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is  $(m+1)$ -regular. By repeating this process we have a linear resolution:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-m-2) \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-m-1) \rightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-m) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$



# Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

## Definition

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module.

Let  $\mathbf{F}_\bullet$  be the minimal free resolution, where  $F_i = \bigoplus_j S(-j)^{\beta_{ij}}$  and

$$\beta_{ij} = \dim_k[\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(M, k)]_j.$$

$\beta_{i,j}$  is called as Betti number, and Betti table is described  $\beta_{i,i+j}$  in position  $(i, j)$ .

## Remark

- $\mathrm{proj.dim}_S M = \max\{i \mid \beta_{ij} \neq 0\}$
- $\mathrm{reg} M = \max\{j \mid \beta_{i,i+j} \neq 0\}$
- Poincaré series of  $M$  is  $P(M, t) = \sum_i h_M(i)t^i = \frac{\sum_i (-1)^i \beta_{ij} t^j}{(1-t)^{n+1}}$

# Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

## Example

$X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$  : a complete intersection of type  $(d_1, \dots, d_r)$

$$0 \rightarrow S(-d_1 - \dots - d_r) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1, \dots, r} S(-d_j) \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow 0$$

The Koszul complex arising from the defining equations gives the minimal free resolution of the defining ideal  $I$ .

$$\operatorname{reg} X = d_1 + \dots + d_r - r + 1$$

# Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

## Example

$C$  : a rational normal curve

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \ni (s : t) \longrightarrow (s^3 : s^2t : st^2 : t^3) \in \mathbb{P}^3$$

The defining ideal  $I$  of  $C$  is an ideal generated by  $2 \times 2$ -minors of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ y & z & w \end{bmatrix} \text{ in } S = k[x, y, z, w].$$

Let  $f = yw - z^2$ ,  $g = yz - xw$ ,  $h = xz - y^2$ .

Then the minimal free resolution of  $I = (f, g, h)$  is

$$0 \rightarrow S(-3) \oplus S(-3) \xrightarrow{A} S(-2) \oplus S(-2) \oplus S(-2) \xrightarrow{[f \ g \ h]} S \rightarrow S/I \rightarrow 0$$

In this case we have  $\text{reg } C = 2$

## Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity Basics

## Example

- (1) In case a  $(2, 3)$ -complete intersection  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ ,  $\text{reg } C = 4$  from the Betti table.

	0	1	2
0	1	-	-
1	-	-	-
2	-	1	-
3	-	1	-
4	-	-	1

- (2) In case a twisted cubic curve  $C$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ,  $\text{reg } C = 2$  from Betti table.

	0	1	2
0	1	-	-
1	-	-	-
2	-	3	2

# General References

## Reference

- D. Bayer and D. Mumford, What can be computed in Algebraic Geometry? Computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, CUP 1993.  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9304003>
- D. Eisenbud, The geometry of Syzygies, Springer GTM 229, 2005
- R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity I, Chapter 1, Section 8, Springer, 2004.
- E. Miller and D. Perkinson, Eight Lectures on Monomial Ideals by B. Sturmfels, CoCoA Summer School 1999.  
<https://services.math.duke.edu/~ezra/Queens/cocoa.pdf>
- D. Eisenbud and S. Goto, Linear free resolutions and minimal multiplicity. J. Algebra 88 (1984).

# Regularity Conjecture

## Remark

- (1)  $\text{reg } X \geq 1$
- (2) If  $X(\subseteq \mathbb{P}^n)$  is nondegenerate, that is,  $X$  is not contained in any hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , then  $\text{reg } X \geq 2$ .

## Conjecture (Regularity Conjecture by Eisenbud-Goto)

$X$  : a nondegenerate projective variety  $\Rightarrow \text{reg } X \leq \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 1?$

## Remark

'Irreducible' and 'Reduced' are necessary.

- (1) Skew lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ,  $I = (x, y) \cap (z, w) \subset k[x, y, z, w]$
- (2) A double line in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ,  $I = (xw - yz, x^2, xy, y^2) \subset k[x, y, z, w]$

$\text{reg } I = \text{deg } S/I = \text{ht } I = 2$ .

# Regularity Conjecture

## Fact

- (1)  $\dim X = 1$  : Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine, 1983
- (2)  $\dim X = 2$ , smooth,  $\text{char } k = 0$  : Lazarsfeld, 1987
- (3)  $\dim X = 3$ , smooth,  $\text{char } k = 0$ ,  
 $\text{reg } X \leq \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 2$  : Kwak, 1998
- (4)  $\dim X \leq 14$ , smooth,  $\text{char } k = 0$ ,  $n = \dim X$ ,  
 $\text{reg } X \leq \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 1 + (n - 1)(n - 2)/2$   
 : Chiantini-Chiarli-Greco, 2000
- (5)  $\dim X \geq 3$ , singular, Conterexamples : McCullough-Peeva, 2018
- (6) Toric variety with  $\text{codim } X = 2$  : Peeva-Sturmfels, 1998

# Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine

## Theorem

Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  be a nondegenerate projective curve of degree  $d$ . Then  $\text{reg } C \leq d + 2 - n$ . The equality holds if and only if

- (1)  $d = n$ , that is, a rational normal curve
- (2)  $d = n + 1$
- (3)  $d > n + 1$ , and  $C$  has a  $(d + 2 - n)$ -secant line.

## Theorem

Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  be a nondegenerate projective curve of degree  $d$ . If  $g = p_g(C) \geq 1$ , then  $\text{reg } C \leq d + 1 - n$  unless  $C$  is an elliptic normal curve.



## Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskin

## Reference

L. Gruson, C. Peskin and R. Lazarsfeld, On a theorem of Castelnuovo, and the equations defining space curves, Invent. Math. 72(1983)

## Lemma

Let  $p : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  be the normalization of  $C$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = p^*\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ . Assume  $H^1(\tilde{C}, \wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{A}) = 0$  for some  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Pic } \tilde{C}$ . Then  $\text{reg } C \leq h^0(\mathcal{A})$ .

## Lemma

Let  $p : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$ . Let  $d = \deg p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ . Then there exists an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $h^0(\mathcal{A}) = d + 2 - n$  and  $h^1(\wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{A}) = 0$ .

## Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine

## Sketch of Proof

Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(1) = p^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$  and  $V = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)) \subseteq H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(1))$ .

Let  $\pi : \tilde{C} \times \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \tilde{C}$  and  $f : \tilde{C} \times \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be the projections.

Let  $\Gamma$  be the graph of  $p : \tilde{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \pi^* \mathcal{M} & \rightarrow & V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C} \times \mathbb{P}^n} & \rightarrow & \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(1) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & f^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) & \rightarrow & V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C} \times \mathbb{P}^n} & \rightarrow & f^* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(1) \rightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

The graph  $\Gamma (\subseteq \tilde{C} \times \mathbb{P}^n)$  is defined by  $\pi^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow f^* \mathcal{O}_C(1)$ .

Then we have the exact sequence

$$\pi^* \mathcal{M} \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C} \times \mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow 0.$$

After tensoring with  $\pi^* \mathcal{A}$ , we take the Koszul resolution

$$\pi^*(\wedge^2 \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2) \rightarrow \pi^*(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \otimes f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0.$$

# Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskine

## Sketch of Proof

Then we have an exact sequence

$$H^0(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \xrightarrow{u} H^0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow p_*\mathcal{A} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $\mathcal{J}(\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n})$  be the Fitting ideal of  $p_*\mathcal{A}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{J} = \text{Im } \wedge^{n_0} u$ ,  $n_0 = h^0(\mathcal{A})$ .

Note that  $\text{Supp } p_*\mathcal{A} = C$ .

Then we have the Eagon-Northcott complex of  $u$

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n_0 - 2)^{\oplus} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n_0 - 1)^{\oplus} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n_0)^{\oplus} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathcal{J} \rightarrow 0$$

such that  $\varepsilon$  is surjective and the complex is exact away from  $C$ , which gives  $\mathcal{J}$  is  $n_0$ -regular, that is,  $\mathcal{I}_X$  is  $n_0$ -regular,

# Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskiné

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be locally free sheaves of  $\text{rank } \mathcal{E} = e$  and  $\text{rank } \mathcal{F} = f$  on a scheme  $X$ . Let  $u : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ . Then there is a complex

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^e \mathcal{E} \otimes S^{e-f}(\mathcal{F}^*) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \wedge^{f+1} \mathcal{E} \otimes S^1(\mathcal{F}^*) \rightarrow \wedge^f \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \wedge^f \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$$

which is called as the Eagon-Northcott complex. If  $u : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is surjective, then the complex is exact.

## Reference

H. Clemens, J. Kollár and S. Mori, Higher Dimensional Complex Geometry, Asterisque 166, SMF, 1088,

Lecture 24: A Theorem of Gruson-Lazarsfeld-Peskiné and a Lemma of Lazarsfeld by L. Ein.

# Generic Projection Method

## Theorem

Let  $X$  be a nondegenerate smooth projective variety of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N$ . If  $n = \dim X \leq 14$ , then  $\text{reg } X \leq \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 1 + (n-2)(n-1)/2$ .

## Setup

Let  $p : X(\subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$  be a generic projection.

Take a coordinate  $(x_0 : \cdots : x_{n+1} : x_{n+2} : \cdots, x_N) \rightarrow (x_0 : \cdots : x_{n+1})$ , we have the canonical maps:

- $\psi_0 : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow p_* \mathcal{O}_X$ : a canonical map
- $\psi_1 = \sum_{n+2 \leq j \leq N} \phi_{x_j} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)^{\oplus} \rightarrow p_* \mathcal{O}_X$ , where  $\phi_{x_j} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \xrightarrow{x_j} p_* \mathcal{O}_X$
- $\psi_2 = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq N} \phi_{x_i x_j} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2)^{\oplus} \rightarrow p_* \mathcal{O}_X$ , where  $\phi_{x_i x_j} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2) \xrightarrow{x_i x_j} p_* \mathcal{O}_X$

# Generic Projection Method

## Setup

Take  $w = \psi_0 + \psi_1 + \psi_2 : \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)^{\oplus} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2)^{\oplus} \rightarrow p_*\mathcal{O}_X$ .

## Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}}(-3) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}}(-n)$ .

If there is a surjective morphism  $v : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_*\mathcal{O}_X$  such that  $v|_{\mathcal{G}} = w$ , then  $\text{reg } X \leq d - N + n + 1 + (n-1)(n-2)/2$ .

## Lemma

If  $p : X(\subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$  is 'good', there exists a surjective morphism  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_*\mathcal{O}_X$ .

# Generic Projection Method

## Definition and Theorem

Let  $p : X(\subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$  be a projection. Let  $S_j = \{z \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1} \mid \deg p^{-1}(z) = j\}$ . The projection  $p$  is said to be good if  $\dim S_j \leq \max\{-1, n - j + 1\}$  for all  $j$ .

(Mather's Theory) If  $n = \dim X \leq 14$ , then  $p$  is good.

## Reference

- R. Lazarsfeld, A sharp Castelnuovo bound for smooth surfaces, Duke Math. J. 55(1987)
- S. Kwak, Castelnuovo regularity for smooth subvarieties of dimensions 3 and 4. J. Algebraic Geom. 7 (1998)
- L.. Chiantini, N. Chiarli and S. Greco, Bounding Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity for varieties with good general projections, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 152(2000)

# Generic Projection Method

## Example (Behesti-Eisenbud 2010)

Lazarsfeld has shown that the fibers  $p^{-1}(z)$  of a generic projection  $p : X(\subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ ,  $n = \dim X$ , can have exponentially greater degree.

Generic Projection Method seems not to work for higher dimensional cases of Eisenbud-Goto conjecture.

## Reference

- R. Behesti and D. Eisenbud, Fibers of generic projections, *Compositio Math.*, 146(2010).
- J. Mather, Generic projections. *Ann. of Math.* 98(1973)



# $\mathcal{O}_X$ -regularity

## Remark

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  be a nondegenerate projective variety. Let  $m(\geq 2)$  be an integer. Then  $\text{reg } X \leq m$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  is  $(m-1)$ -normal, that is,  $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(m-1)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(m-1))$  is surjective.
- (2)  $\text{reg } \mathcal{O}_X \leq m-1$ .

## Theorem (Noma, Kwak-Park)

Let  $X$  be a nondegenerate smooth projective variety in  $\mathbb{P}^N$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of  $\text{char } k = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is  $(\deg X - \text{codim } X)$ -regular.

In other words,  $H^i(\mathcal{I}_X(m-i)) = 0$  for  $i \geq 2$ , where  $m = \deg X - \text{codim } X + 1$ .

# $\mathcal{O}_X$ -regularity

## Sketch of Proof

Let us put  $n = \dim X$ ,  $d = \deg X$ , and  $c = \operatorname{codim} X = N - n$ .

Let us consider a generic inner projection  $p : X(\subset \mathbb{P}^N) \cdots \rightarrow \bar{X}(\subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1})$ .

Note that  $\deg \bar{X} = d - c + 1$ . Let us define the double point divisor from the inner projection (cf. Bayer-Mumford Technical Appendix Section 3, 4):

$$D_{\text{inn}} = -K_X + (d - n - c - 1)H.$$

Then  $D_{\text{inn}}$  is semiample and by Kodaira Vanishing, we have  $\operatorname{reg} \mathcal{O}_X \leq d - c$ .

## Reference

- A. Noma, Generic inner projections of projective varieties and an application to the positivity of double point divisors., Trans. AMS, 366 (2014)
- Sijong Kwak and Jinhyung Park, A bound for Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity by double point divisors, Adv. Math. 364 (2020)

# Asymptotic property of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

## Theorem (Bertram-Ein-Lazarsfeld 1991)

Let  $V$  be a smooth projective variety of  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^n$  scheme-theoretically defined by hypersurfaces of degrees  $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_r$ . Then  $H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_V^q(\ell)) = 0$  for  $\ell \geq d_1 q + d_2 + \dots + d_r - n$ .

## Remark

The proof is difficult and obtained from the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. The result means  $\text{reg } I^m \leq d_1 m + b$  for some  $b$  if a polynomial ideal  $I$  defines a smooth projective variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Reference

- A. Bertram, L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld, Vanishing theorems, a theorem of Severi, and the equations defining projective varieties, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1991), 587 – 602.

# Asymptotical Linearity of Regularity

The asymptotical linearity of the regularity had been believed to be true.

- K. Chandler, Regularity of the powers of an ideal, *Comm. Algebra*, 25 (1997), 3773 – 3776.
- I. Swanson, Powers of ideals: Primary decompositions, Artin-Rees lemma and regularity, *Math. Ann.* 307 (1997), 299 – 313.

## Theorem (Cutkosky-Herzog-Trung 1999, Kodiyalam 2000)

Let  $I$  be a homogeneous ideal of the polynomial ring  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then the regularity of  $I^m$  is asymptotically linear function, that is, there are integers  $d$ ,  $b$ ,  $s$  such that  $\text{reg } I^m = dm + b$  for any  $m \geq s$ .

## Remark

The striking theorem are proved independently by Cutkosky-Herzog-Trung and Kodiyalam. Later, there are several attempts to obtain  $d$  and  $b$ , and  $s$ .

# Asymptotical Linearity of Regularity

## Reference

- S. D. Cutkosky, J. Herzog and N. V. Trung, Asymptotic behaviour of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, *Compositio Math.* 118 (1999), 243 – 261.
- V. Kodiyalam, Asymptotic behavior of Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128 (2000), 407 –411.

## Remark

We describe a proof of the Cutkosky-Herzog-Trung, Kodiyalam theorem.

The proof has 3 steps,

Step 1 surprisingly includes the Bertram-Ein-Lazarsfeld theorem.

Step 3 is the most complicated, depending on the method of Kodiyalam.

## Asymptotical Linearity of Regularity

## Sketch of Proof

**STEP I.** To prove  $\text{reg } I^m \leq Am + B, m \gg 0$  for some constant  $A, B$ .

Suppose  $I$  is minimally generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  with  $\deg f_i = d_i$ . Let  $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n, T_1, \dots, T_r]$  with bigrading  $\deg X_i = (1, 0), \deg T_j = (d_j, 1)$ .

For a bigraded  $R$ -module  $M = \bigoplus M_{(d,\ell)}$ ,  $M^{(m)}$  is defined as  $\bigoplus_d M_{(d,m)}$ .

A bigraded  $R$ -algebra  $R(I) = S[It]$  by  $X_i \rightarrow x_i, T_j \rightarrow f_j t_j$  has  $S[It]^{(m)} \cong I^m$ .

$R(-a, -b)^{(m)} \cong R^{(m-b)}(-a) \cong \bigoplus_{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_r = m-b} S(-\ell_1 d_1 - \dots - \ell_r d_r - a)$ .

By Hilbert syzygy theorem, a graded  $R$ -modules  $S[It]$  has a grade free resolution  $0 \rightarrow F_u \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow S[It] \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} R(-a_{ij}, -b_{ij})$ .

By taking  $(-)^{(m)}$ , we have the free resolution  $0 \rightarrow F_u^{(m)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_0^{(m)} \rightarrow I^m \rightarrow 0$ .

Here  $F_i^{(m)} \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} \bigoplus_{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_s = m-b_{ij}} S(-\ell_1 d_1 - \dots - \ell_s d_s - a_{ij})$ .

Thus we have  $\text{reg } I^m \leq Am + B$ , where  $A = \max d_i$  and  $B = \max\{a_{ij} - Ab_{ij} - i\}$ .

## Asymptotic Linearity of Regularity

## Sketch of Proof

**STEP II.** Let  $J$  be a reduction of  $I$ , that is,  $I^q = JI^{q-1}$  for some  $q$ . As in **STEP I**, through the surjective map  $R \rightarrow S[Jt]$ , the Rees algebra  $S[It]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then  $\text{reg } I^m \leq d(J)m + b$  for  $m \gg 0$  from **STEP I**

Let  $d$  be the minimum of  $d(J)$  such that  $J$  is a reduction of  $I$ . We want to show

$$dm \leq \text{reg } I^m \leq dm + b, m \gg 0.$$

There exists  $f \in I$  of (the largest) degree  $p$  such that  $f^m \notin \mathfrak{m}I^m$  for all  $m \geq 1$ , which implies  $d(I^m) \geq pm$ .

So, we have only to show  $p \geq d$ , that is, there exists a reduction  $J$  of  $I$  with  $d(J) \leq p$ . In fact, let us take a minimal generator  $f_1, \dots, f_r, \dots, f_t$  of  $I$  with  $\deg f_1 \leq \dots \leq \deg f_r = p$  and otherwise  $\deg f_i > p$ .

Since  $I^n = JI^{n-1} + (f_{r+1} + \dots + f_t)^n \subset JI + \mathfrak{m}I^n$  for  $n \gg 0$ ,  $J$  is a reduction of  $I$  by Nakayama's lemma, and  $\text{reg } I^m \geq d(I^m) \geq dm$ .

# Asymptotic Linearity of Regularity

## Sketch of Proof

**STEP III.** From **STEP I** and **STEP II**, we have  $\text{reg } I^m = dm + b_m$  for  $m \gg 0$ . We will show that  $b_m$  is constant for  $m \geq 0$ .

Take a reduction  $J = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  as in **STEP II**.

Let  $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n, T_1, \dots, T_r]$  and consider  $S[Jt] \subset S[It]$ . Take the Koszul complex of the bigraded  $R$ -module  $S[It]$  with respect to  $T_1, \dots, T_r$ .

Since the homology modules are annihilated by a power of  $(T_1, \dots, T_r)$ , by taking  $(-)^{(m)}$  for  $m \gg 0$ , we have

$$0 \rightarrow I^{m-r}(-d_1 - \dots - d_r) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow I^{m-1}(-d_1) \oplus \dots \oplus I^{m-1}(-d_r) \rightarrow I^m \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies  $\text{reg } I^m \leq \max\{\text{reg } I^{m-1} + \max\{d_i\}, \text{reg } I^{m-2} + \max\{d_i + d_j\} + \dots + \text{reg } I^{m-r} + (d_1 + \dots + d_r)\}$ .



# Asymptotic Linearity of Regularity

## Sketch of Proof

Since  $\text{reg } I^k \leq dk + b_k$  and  $d_{i_1} + \cdots + d_{i_s} \leq sd$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{reg } I^m &= dm + b_m \\ &\leq \max\{d(m-1) + b_{m-1} + d, d(m-2) + b_{m-2} + 2d - 1, \\ &\quad \cdots, d(m-r) + b_{m-r} + (m-r)d - (m-r-1)\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $b_m \leq \max\{b_{m-1}, b_{m-2} - 1, \cdots, b_{m-r} - (r-1)\}$ .

Hence  $b_m$  is nonincreasing for  $m \gg 0$ , and  $b_m$  is constant for  $m \gg 0$ .

## Asymptotic property and Geometry

## Remark

Contrary to the ideal case,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{reg} \frac{\mathcal{I}_X^m}{m}$  can be taken an irrational number.

- S. D. Cutkosky, L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld. Positivity and complexity of ideal sheaves, Math. Ann. 321 (2001), 213 –234.

## Theorem (Eisenbud-Harris 2010)

Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a linear projection whose center does not meet  $X$ , defined by a linear subspace  $V$ . Let  $I \subset S$  be the ideal generated by  $V$ .

$$\max\{\operatorname{reg} \varphi^{-1}(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{P}^n\} = b + 1,$$

where  $b$  is the least integer  $\mathfrak{m}^{t+b} \subseteq I^t$  for  $t \gg 0$ .

- D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, Power of ideals and fibers of morphisms, Math. Res. Lett. 17 (2010), 269 – 275.

## Asymptotic property and Geometry

## Lemma

Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a finite morphism. Set  $\mathcal{L} = \varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$  and  $V = \varphi^*(\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $X$  and  $W \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ .

The following are equivalent:

- (1) For  $t \gg 0$ , the map  $\text{Sym}_t(V) \otimes W \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{L}^t \otimes \mathcal{M})$  is surjective.
- (2) For every closed point  $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$ , the restriction map  $W \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{M}|_{\varphi^{-1}(x)})$  is surjective.

## Sketch of Proof

(1) and (2) are equivalent to (3)  $\mu : W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \varphi_* \mathcal{M}$  is surjective.

Indeed, (3) means that  $W \otimes \text{Sym}_t(V) \rightarrow \Gamma(\varphi_* \mathcal{M}(t))$  is surjective for  $t \gg 0$ . Also,  $\Gamma(\varphi_* \mathcal{M}(t)) = \Gamma(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{L}^t)$ , so (3) is equivalent to (1).

On the other hand, (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) follows from the restriction of  $\mu$  at  $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$  and the finiteness of  $\varphi$ .

## Asymptotic property and Geometry

## Sketch of Proof (Eisenbud-Harris Theorem)

Let us take  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e)$  and  $W = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e))$  in Lemma.

(1) means there is an integer  $q$  such that  $\mathrm{Sym}_t(V) \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(t+e))$  is surjective for  $t \geq q$ , in other words,  $\mathfrak{m}^{t+e} \subset I^t$ .

(2) means  $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(e)|_{\varphi^{-1}(x)})$  is surjective, equivalently  $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{\varphi^{-1}(x)}(e)) = 0$ , that is,  $\mathrm{reg} \varphi^{-1}(x) \leq e + 1$ .

## Example

Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathrm{Proj} k[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a finite morphism by a linear system  $V \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d))$ .

Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $k[x, y]$  generated by  $V$ .

Then  $\mathrm{reg} I^m = dm + r - 1$  for  $q \gg 0$ , where  $r$  is the number of the fibers.

# Asymptotic property and Geometry

Mather's generic projection theorem means the following in commutative algebra.

## Proposition

Let  $R$  be a standard graded algebra with  $\dim R = n + 1$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\text{Proj } R$  is smooth. If  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_{n+2})$  is an ideal generated  $n + 2$  generic forms of degree  $d$ , and  $n \leq 14$ , then  $\mathfrak{m}^{t+n} \subset I^t$  for all  $t \gg 0$ .

## Conjecture (Beheshti-Eisenbud 2008)

The regularity of a every fiber of a generic projection of a smooth projective variety  $X$  to  $\mathbb{P}^{n+c}$ ,  $c \geq 1$  is bounded by  $1 + n/c$ , where  $\dim X = n$ .

Let  $R$  be the coordinate ring of  $X$ . This conjecture is equivalent to  $\mathfrak{m}^{t+\lceil n/c \rceil} \subset I^t$  for  $t \gg 0$  for an ideal  $I$  generated by  $n + 1 + c$  general linear forms.

## Reference

- Z. Ran, Unobstructedness of filling secants and the Gruson-Peskine general projection theorem. Duke Math. J. 164 (2015), 697722.

# References

## Reference

- H. T. Hà, Asymptotic linearity of regularity and  $a^*$ -invariant of powers of ideals. *Math. Res. Lett.* 18 (2011), 1 – 9.
- D. Eisenbud and B. Ulrich, Notes on regularity stabilization. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 140 (2012), no. 4, 1221 – 1232.
- M. Chardin, Regularity stabilization for the powers of graded  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 143 (2015), 3343 – 3349.
- M. Chardin, Power of ideals and the cohomology of stalks and fibers of morphisms, *Algebra Number Theory*, 7 (2013), 1 – 18.
- S. Bisui, H. T. Hà and A. C. Thomas, Fiber invariants of projective morphisms and regularity of powers of ideals. *Acta Math. Vietnam.* 45 (2020), 183 – 198.

# Regularity Bounds for Buchsbaum Variety

## Definition

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a projective scheme, where  $\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj } S$  and  $S$  is a polynomial ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

- (1)  $X$  is ACM if  $H^i(\mathcal{I}_X(\ell)) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \dim X$  and  $\ell$ .
- (2)  $X$  is Buchsbaum if for all  $r$ -planes  $L$  (successive hyperplane sections) with  $\dim X \cap L = \dim X - \text{codim } L$ ,  
 $\mathfrak{m}H_*^i(\mathcal{I}_{X \cap L}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \dim X \cap L$ .

## Theorem (Eisenbud-Goto 1984; Stückrad-Vogel 1988)

- (1) Assume  $X$  is an ACM variety, i.e.,  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then  $\text{reg } X \leq \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 1$ .
- (2) Assume  $X$  is a Buchsbaum variety, i.e.,  $R$  is Buchsbaum, then  $\text{reg } X \leq \lceil (\text{deg } X - 1) / \text{codim } X \rceil + 1$

# Regularity Bounds for Buchsbaum Variety

Theorem (Trung-Valla 1988, Nagel 1995; Yanagawa 1997, Nagel 1999; Miyazaki 2011)

- (1) An ACM variety  $X$  with  $\deg X \gg 0$  and  $\operatorname{reg} X = \lceil (\deg X - 1)/\operatorname{codim} X \rceil + 1$  is a divisor on a variety of minimal degree.
- (2) A Buchsbaum variety  $X$  with  $\deg X \gg 0$  and  $\operatorname{reg} X = \lceil (\deg X - 1)/\operatorname{codim} X \rceil + 1$  is a divisor on a variety of minimal degree.
- (3) A Buchsbaum variety  $X$  with  $\deg X \gg 0$  and  $\operatorname{reg} X = \lceil (\deg X - 1)/\operatorname{codim} X \rceil$  is a divisor either on a variety of minimal degree or on a Del Pezzo variety.



# Regularity Bounds for Buchsbaum Variety

## Remark

If  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  is a variety of minimal degree, that is,  $\deg X = \text{codim } X + 1$ , then  $X$  is either (a) a quadric hypersurface, (b) the Veronese surface in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ , (c) a rational normal scroll or (d) their cone.

## Definition (from Fujita's Book)

$X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  is called a Del Pezzo variety if

- $\deg X = \text{codim } X + 2$
- $X \cap L$  is an elliptic normal curve for a generic  $(\text{codim } X + 1)$ -plane  $L$
- only Gorenstein singularities,  $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X(1 - n)$
- $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X(\ell)) = 0$  for all  $\ell$  and  $1 \leq q \leq \dim X - 1$

# Classification in terms of Regularity Bound

## Reference

- Stückrad and W. Vogel, Castelnuovo bounds for locally Cohen-Macaulay schemes. Math. Nachr. 136 (1988)
- L. T. Hoa and C. Miyazaki, Bounds on Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity for generalized Cohen-Macaulay graded rings. Math. Ann. 301 (1995).
- U. Nagel and P. Schenzel, Degree bounds for generators of cohomology modules and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. Nagoya Math. J. 152 (1998)
- C. Miyazaki, Buchsbaum varieties with next to sharp bounds on Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. Proc. AMS 139 (2011).

# Regularity Bound

## Sketch of Proof

$V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+\dim V}$ : a Buchsbaum variety

$C = V \cap H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{\dim V-1}$ : a successive generic hyperplane section

$X = C \cap H \subseteq H(\cong \mathbb{P}^n)$ : a generic hyperplane section.

$$\operatorname{reg} V = \operatorname{reg} C = \operatorname{reg} X$$

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{reg} X &= \min\{m \mid H^1(\mathcal{I}_X(m-1)) = 0\} \\ &= \min\{t \mid \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(t)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(t))\} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$X$  is in uniform position in  $\operatorname{char} k = 0$  (linear semi-uniform position in  $\operatorname{char} k > 0$ ).  
Take a union of hyperplanes  $F$  such that  $F \cap X = X \setminus \{P\}$  for any  $P \in X$  in  $\operatorname{char} k = 0$

# Uniform Position Principle

## Proposition

$$\operatorname{reg} X \leq \lceil (d-1)/n \rceil + 1$$

## Sketch of Proof

In case  $\operatorname{char} k = 0$ ,  $X$  is in uniform position. **Castelnuovo's method**

$$P \in X, \ell = \lceil (d-1)/n \rceil$$

Divide the points  $X \setminus \{P\}$  into  $\ell$  groups.

$$X \setminus \{P\} = \{P_1, \dots, P_n | P_{n+1}, \dots, P_{2n} | \dots | P_{(\ell-1)n+1}, \dots, P_{d-1}\}$$

Take  $\ell$  hyperplanes:  $H_i = \langle P_{n(i-1)+1}, \dots, P_{ni} \rangle \not\ni P, 1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .

Let us take a union of hyperplanes  $F = H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_\ell$ .

Then we have  $F \cap X = X \setminus \{P\}$  and  $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_H(\ell)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X(\ell))$  is surjective.

# Generic Hyperplane Section of Projective Curve

## Sketch of Proof

In case  $\text{char } k > 0$ ,  $X$  is not necessarily in uniform position.

$R$ : the the coordinate ring of  $X$

$\underline{h} = (h_0, \dots, h_s)$  be the  $h$ -vector of  $R$

$h_i = \dim_k [R]_i - \dim_k [R]_{i-1}$ , where  $s$  is the largest integer such that  $h_s \neq 0$ .

$h_0 = 1$ ,  $h_1 = (n+1) - 1 = n$ ,  $\deg X = h_0 + \dots + h_s = d$  and  $s = \text{reg } X - 1$ .

## Lemma (Uniform Position Lemma(Griffiths-Harris, Ballico))

- $\text{char } k = 0$ ,  $h_i \geq h_1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s - 1$
- $\text{char } k > 0$ ,  $h_1 + \dots + h_i \geq ih_1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s - 1$

## Reference

E. Ballico, On singular curves in the case of positive characteristic. Math. Nachr. 141 (1989)

# Generic Hyperplane Section of Projective Curve

## Proposition

- (1)  $\text{reg } X \leq d - n + 1 (= \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 1)$
- (2)  $\text{reg } X \leq \lceil (d - 1)/n \rceil + 1$

## Sketch of Proof

- (1) Since  $h_i \geq 1$  for  $0 \leq i \leq s$  and  $h_1 = n$ , we have

$$\text{reg } X = s + 1 \leq h_0 + h_1 + \cdots + h_s - n + 1 = d - n + 1.$$

- (2) Since  $h_0 + \cdots + h_s = d$  and  $h_1 + \cdots + h_{s-1} \geq (s - 1)h_1$ ,

$$\text{reg } X - 2 + h_s/h_1 = (s - 1) + h_s/h_1 \leq (h_1 + \cdots + h_{s-1})/h_1 + h_s/h_1 = (d - 1)/n.$$

Thus we have  $\text{reg } X - 1 \leq \lceil (d - 1)/n \rceil$  as desired.

## Castelnuovo, Eisenbud-Harris

## Lemma (Castelnuovo, Eisenbud-Harris)

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  be a generic hyperplane section of a curve.

- (1) If  $\deg X \geq 2n + 1$  and  $h_2 = h_1$ , then  $X$  lies on a rational normal curve.
- (2) If  $\deg X \geq 2n + 3$  and  $h_2 = h_1 + 1$ , then  $X$  lies on an elliptic normal curve.

Lemma ( char  $k = 0$  for simplicity)

- (1)  $\deg X \geq n^2 + 2n + 2$  and  $\text{reg } X = \lceil (\deg X - 1)/n \rceil + 1$   
 $\Rightarrow X$  lies on a rational normal curve.
- (2)  $\deg X \geq n^2 + 4n + 2$  and  $\text{reg } X = \lceil (\deg X - 1)/n \rceil$   
 $\Rightarrow X$  lies on an elliptic normal curve.

## Castelnuovo, Eisenbud-Harris

## Conjecture (Harris)

For  $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$ , if  $\deg X \geq 2n + 2m - 1$  and  $h_2 = h_1 + m - 1$ ,  $X$  lies on a curve of degree at most  $n + m - 1$ .

## Remark

What should we do in positive characteristic case?

$$C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$$

$X = C \cap H \subseteq H (\cong \mathbb{P}^n)$  : a generic hyperplane section

If  $X$  is not in uniform position (it may happen only if  $\text{char } k = p > 0$ ) and  $\deg X \gg 0$ , then  $\text{reg } X \ll \lceil (d - 1)/N \rceil + 1$ ?



# Sketch of the Proofs

## Sketch of Proof

In case  $Z$  is generated by quadratic equations.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X = C \cap H & \subset & Z & \subset & H (\cong \mathbb{P}^n) \\ & & & & & & \\ & C & \subset & & \subset & & \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \end{array}$$

We have to show

- (1)  $\Gamma(\mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(2)) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{I}_{X/H}(2))$ .
- (2)  $\Gamma(\mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(2)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{I}_{X/H}(2))$  is surjective.

## Keypoints

- Uniform Position Lemma, Castelnuovo's Lemma, Eienbud-Harris' Lemma
- Socle Lemma

# Socle Lemma

## Theorem (Socle Lemma(Huneke-Ulrich J. Alg. Geom. 1993))

Let  $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring over a field  $k$ ,  $\text{char } k = 0$ .

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module.

For a generic element  $h \in [S]_1$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \varphi \rightarrow M(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \rightarrow \text{Coker } \varphi \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\varphi = \cdot h$ .

If  $\text{Ker } \varphi \neq 0$ , then  $a_-(\text{Ker } \varphi) > a_-(\text{Soc}(\text{Coker } \varphi))$ ,

where  $\text{Soc}(N) = [0 : \mathfrak{m}]_N$  and  $a_-(N) = \min\{i \mid [N]_i \neq 0\}$  for a finitely generated graded  $S$ -module  $N$ .

# A Small Theorem

## Definition

$C$  : a projective curve in  $\mathbb{P}^n$

$M(C) = H^1_* \mathcal{I}_C = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(\mathcal{I}_C(\ell))$  : Hartshorne-Rao module

$k(C) = \min\{v \geq 0 \mid \mathfrak{m}^v M(C) = 0\}$

## Proposition

Let  $C$  be a nondegenerate non-ACM space curve in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Then  $\text{reg } C \leq \lceil (\text{deg } C - 1) / \text{codim } C \rceil + k(C)$ .

If  $\text{deg } C \geq 10$  and  $\text{reg } C = \lceil (\text{deg } C - 1) / \text{codim } C \rceil + k(C)$ , then  $C$  is a divisor of either  $(a, a + 2)$  or  $(a, a + 3)$  on a smooth quartic surface  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

# Counterexamples

## Theorem (McCullough-Peeva)

Over any field  $k$  the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of nondegenerate homogeneous prime ideals is not bounded by any polynomial function of the multiplicity.

## Corollary

There is a nondegenerate projective variety  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $\text{reg } X > \text{deg } X - \text{codim } X + 1$ .

## Reference

- J. McCullough and I. Peeva, Counterexamples to the Eisenbud-Goto regularity conjecture, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (2018)
- J. McCullough and I. Peeva, The regularity conjecture for prime ideals in polynomial rings, EMS Survey Math. Sci. 7 (2020).

# Counterexamples

## Construction Method

- (1) Take a bad ideal, that is,  $I$  is a homogeneous ideal of the standard polynomial ring  $S$  such that  $\text{reg } I \gg \deg S/I$ , but  $I$  not prime.
- (2) By using Rees-like algebra (or Rees algebra), take a homogeneous prime ideal  $P$  of the non-standard (weighted) polynomial ring  $T$  with  $\text{reg } P$  and  $\deg T/P$  computable from  $\text{reg } I$  and  $\deg S/I$ .
- (3) By step-by-step homogenization (or prime standardization), take a homogeneous prime ideal  $P' = PT'$  of the standard polynomial ring  $T'$  with  $\text{reg } P' = \text{reg } P$  and  $\deg T'/P' = \deg T/P$ .

# Counterexamples

## Proposition (cf. Bayer-Mumford)

Let  $I$  be a homogenous ideal of  $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ . Then we have

- (1)  $\text{char } k = 0, \text{reg } I \leq (2^{\max\text{deg}(I)})^{2^{n-1}}$
- (2)  $\text{char } k > 0, \text{reg } I \leq (2^{\max\text{deg}(I)})^{n!}$

## Example (Mayr-Meyer 1984)

There is an ideal  $I$  of  $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  with  $\max\text{deg}(I) = 4$  and  $\text{reg } I \geq 2^{2^n} - 1$ .

## Example (Jee Koh 1998)

In the polynomial ring  $k[x_1, \dots, x_{22r-1}]$ , there is an ideal  $I_r$  generated by 23 quadrics and one linear form such that  $\max\text{deg}(\text{Syz}_1(I_r)) \geq 2^{2^{r-1}}$ .

# Rees Algebra

## Definition

Let  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  be an ideal of the polynomial ring  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

The Rees algebra of  $I$  is defined as  $R(I) = S[It] (= \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} I^d) \subset S[t]$ .

$\text{Proj } R(I)$  is the blowing up of  $\mathbb{A}^n$  along  $I$ . The defining ideal  $P$  is the kernel of  $\varphi : S[y_1, \dots, y_r] \rightarrow S[It]$  by  $\varphi(y_i) = f_i t$ .

$P$  is, in general, difficult to compute.

## Example (McCullough-Peeva)

Let  $I = (u^6, v^6, u^2 w^4 + v^2 x^4 + uvwy^3 + uvxz^3)$  be an ideal of  $S = k[u, v, w, x, y, z]$ . Let us take a defining prime ideal  $P$  of  $T = S[w_1, w_2, w_3]$  of the Rees algebra  $S[It]$ . By Bertini Theorem, we have a singular 3-fold  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}^5$  with  $\deg X = 31$  and  $\text{reg } X \geq 38$  by computation with Macaulay2.

# Rees-like Algebra

## Definition

Let  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  be an ideal of the polynomial ring  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

The Rees-like algebra of  $I$  is defined as  $\mathcal{RL}(I) = S[It, t^2] \subset S[t]$ .

The defining ideal  $Q$  is the kernel of  $\psi : T = S[y_1, \dots, y_r, z] \rightarrow S[It, t^2]$  by  $\psi(y_i) = f_i t$  and  $\psi(z) = t^2$ , where  $\deg y_i = \deg f_i + 1$  and  $\deg z = 2$ .

NOT standard graded!

## Example

Let  $I = (x)$  be an ideal of  $k[x]$ . Then  $\mathcal{RL}(I) = k[x, xt, t^2]$  and  $P = (y^2 - x^2 z)$  in  $k[x, y, z]$ .



# Rees-like Algebra

## Theorem

- (1)  $\text{reg } T/Q = \text{reg } S/I + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^r \text{deg } f_i$
- (2)  $\text{deg } T/Q = 2 \prod_{i=1}^r (\text{deg } f_i + 1)$
- (3)  $\text{ht } Q = r$

## Sketch of Proof

The prime ideal  $Q$  of  $T = k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_r, z]$  is minimally generated by

$\{y_\alpha y_\beta - z f_\alpha f_\beta \mid 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r\}$  and  $\{\sum c_{ij} y_i \mid \sum c_{ij} f_j = 0\}$ ,

where the minimal free resolution of  $P$  as a graded  $S$ -module is

$$F_1 \xrightarrow{(c_{ij})} F_0 \xrightarrow{(f_i)} P \rightarrow 0.$$

# Rees-like Algebra

## Sketch of Proof

Since  $Q$  is homogeneous prime,  $z$  is a nonzerodivisor of  $T/Q$ .

Let  $\bar{T} = T/(z)$  and  $\bar{Q} = Q\bar{T}$ .

Then the graded Betti numbers of  $T/Q$  and  $\bar{T}/\bar{Q}$  is the same!

Now we have a homogeneous prime ideal  $\bar{Q}$  in  $\bar{T} = k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_r]$ . The prime ideal  $\bar{Q}$  is generated by  $M = (\{\sum_i c_{ij}y_i\})$  and  $N = (\{y_i y_j\}) = (y_1, \dots, y_r)^2$ .

The minimal free resolution of  $\bar{T}/\bar{Q}$  is constructed as the mapping cone of that of  $(M + N)/N \rightarrow \bar{T}/N$ , which is explicitly described.

In fact, the minimal  $\bar{T}$ -free resolution of  $M + N/N (\cong M/M \cap N)$  comes from the minimal  $S$ -free resolution of  $\text{Syz}_1^S I$ .

The minimal free resolution of  $\bar{T}/\bar{Q}$  is the Eagon-Northcott resolution.

# Homogenization

## Definition and Proposition (Step-by-step homogenization)

Let  $T = k[y_1, \dots, y_p]$  be the polynomial ring with  $\deg y_i > 1$  for  $i \leq q$  and  $\deg y_i = 1$  for  $i > q$ . Let  $T' = k[y_1, \dots, y_p, v_1, \dots, v_q]$  be the standard polynomial ring. Let  $\nu : T \rightarrow T'$  be a graded homomorphism by  $\nu(y_i) = y_i v_i^{\deg y_i - 1}$  for  $i \leq q$  and  $\nu(y_i) = y_i$  for  $i > q$ .

Let  $P$  be a homogeneous prime ideal of  $T$ . Then  $PT'$  is a homogeneous prime ideal of  $T'$ , and the graded Betti numbers of  $T/P$  and  $T'/P'$  is the same.

## Remark

There is another homogenization preserving the graded Betti numbers. Mantero-McCullough-Miller use the **Prime Standization** by the Ananyan-Hochster theory (homogeneous prime sequence) to controll the singular locus.

# Homogenization

## Example (Affine Monomial Curve)

Let  $P = (x^2 - y, xy - z)$  in  $S = k[x, y, z]$ , which is the kernel of  $\varphi : S \rightarrow k[t]$  by  $\varphi(x) = t$ ,  $\varphi(y) = t^2$  and  $\varphi(z) = t^3$ .

Let us take a non-standard grading  $\deg x = 1$ ,  $\deg y = 2$  and  $\deg z = 3$ . Then it is graded, and  $\operatorname{reg} P = 4$  since the minimal free resolution is:

$$0 \rightarrow S(-5) \rightarrow S(-2) \oplus S(-3) \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/P \rightarrow 0.$$

- (1) Traditional homogenization gives  $P' = (x^2 - yw, xy - zw, xz - y^2)$  in  $S' = k[x, y, z, w]$ , which is a twisted cubic curve, and  $\operatorname{reg} P' = 2$ .
- (2) Step-by-step homogenization gives  $Q = (x^2 - yu, wyu - zu^2)$  in  $T = k[x, y, z, u, w]$ , which is a complete intersection, and  $\operatorname{reg} Q = 4$ .

# Counterexamples

## Sketch of Proof (McCullough-Peeva Theorem)

From Jee Koh's example we have homogeneous prime ideals  $P_r$  of the standard polynomial ring  $R_r$  such that

- $\deg R_r/P_r \leq 4 \cdot 3^{22r-3} < 2^{50r}$
- $\operatorname{reg} P_r \geq \max \deg(P_r) \geq 2^{2^{r-1}} + 1 > 2^{2^{r-1}}$ ,

which yields the assertion of the McCullough-Peeva theorem.

# Horrocks Criterion

## Theorem (Horrocks 1964)

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of rank  $r$ .

Assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is ACM, that is,  $H_*^i(\mathcal{E}) = \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{E}(\ell)) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .

Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of line bundles.

## Remark

There are several proofs for Horrocks Theorem.

- Horrocks' original proof
- Induction on the dimension of projective space (cf. Okonek-Schneider-Spindler)
- Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem (cf. Matsumura)
- Use the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

# Horrocks Criterion

## Proof (cf. Okonek-Schneider-Spindler)

We will prove by induction on  $n$ .  $n = 1$  is the Grothendieck Theorem.

For  $n \geq 2$ , let us take  $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a_i)$  from an isomorphism  $\mathcal{E}|_H \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{O}_H(a_i)$ . Then we have only to take a section of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})$  by using the hypothesis of induction.

## Proof (Auslander-Buchsbaum Theorem 1958)

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a Noetherian local ring.

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module with  $\text{proj dim } M < \infty$ .

Then  $\text{depth } M + \text{proj dim } M = \text{depth } R$ .

# Horrocks Criterion

## Proof (Horrocks Criterion using Castelnuovo-Mumford Regularity)

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an ACM vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

Assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $m$ -regular but not  $(m-1)$ -regular.

Then we have a surjective map  $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(m)$ .

Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is ACM, we have  $H^n(\mathcal{E}(m-1-n)) \neq 0$ , and  $H^0(\mathcal{E}^\vee(-m)) \neq 0$  by Serre duality.

Thus we have a nonzero map  $\psi : \mathcal{E}(m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$ .

Since  $\psi \circ \varphi$  is nonzero, it splits.

Hence  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$  is a direct summand of  $\mathcal{E}(m)$ .



# Horrocks Correspondence

## Theorem (Horrocks, Walter, Malaspina-Rao)

Let  $\mathbf{VB}$  be the category of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  modulo stable equivalence. Here vector bundles  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  are stable equivalent if there are direct sums of line bundles  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{M}$ .

Let us write  $\mathbf{FinL}$  for the full subcategory of  $C^\bullet \in \text{Ob}(D^b(S\text{-Mod}))$  such that  $H^i(C^\bullet)$  is a finite over  $S$  for  $0 < i < n$  and  $H^i(C^\bullet) = 0$  for all other  $i$ .

Then we have the following Horrocks correspondence:

A functor  $\tau_{>0}\tau_{<n}\mathbb{R}\Gamma_* : \mathbf{VB} \rightarrow \mathbf{FinL}$  gives an equivalence of the categories.

## Sketch of Proof (Walter, Malaspina-Rao)

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj } S = \text{Proj } k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ .

Let us put  $E = \Gamma_*\mathcal{E}$ .

A graded  $S^\vee$ -module  $E^\vee$  is (negatively graded, but)  $E^\vee$  is finitely generated with finite projective dimension.

# Horrocks Correspondence

## Sketch of Proof (Walter, Malaspina-Rao)

Note that  $\text{depth } E^\vee \geq 2$  since  $E^{\vee\vee\vee} = E^\vee$ .

By Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem, we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow P^{n-1\vee} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^{0\vee} \rightarrow E^\vee \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $P^{i\vee}$  is a dual of a graded free  $S$ -modules.

By taking dual, we have a complex of graded  $S$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

Thus we have an exact sequence of sheaves on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ .

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

# Horrocks Correspondence

## Sketch of Proof (Walter, Malaspina-Rao)

A complex  $P^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^{n-1} \rightarrow 0$  have  $H_*^i(\mathcal{E}) \cong H^i(P^\bullet)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , precisely,  $\tau_{<n}\mathbb{R}\Gamma_*\mathcal{E} \cong P^\bullet$ .

Then a complex  $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^{n-1} \rightarrow 0$  and the minimal free resolution of  $E$ ,  $0 \rightarrow P^{-n} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^{-1} \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$ , give a complex of graded  $S$ -modules

$$P^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow P^{-n} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P^{n-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here we remark that  $H^i(P^\bullet)$  has a finite length, especially  $H^i(P^\bullet) = 0$ ,  $i \notin \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

## Corollary

From the Horrocks correspondence, the vanishing of the intermediate cohomologies of a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , that is,  $\tau_{>0}\tau_{<n}\mathbb{R}\Gamma_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  implies that  $\mathcal{E}$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of line bundles, which is the original Horrocks theorem.

# Buchsbaum Bundle

## Definition

A vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is called a Buchsbaum bundle if  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)H_*^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{E}|_L) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$  for any  $r$ -plane  $L(\subseteq \mathbb{P}^n)$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, n$ .

## Definition and Proposition (Stückrad-Vogel, Schenzel)

Let  $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  be the polynomial ring over a field  $k$  with  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ . A graded  $S$ -module  $M$  with  $\dim M = d$  is called as a Buchsbaum module if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied.

- (i)  $\ell(M/\mathfrak{q}M) - e(\mathfrak{q}; M)$  does not depend on the choice of any homogeneous parameter ideal  $\mathfrak{q} = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$ .
- (ii) For any homogeneous system  $y_1, \dots, y_d$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq d$  of parameters  $\mathfrak{m}H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M/(y_1, \dots, y_i)M) = 0$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq d - i - 1$  holds.
- (iii)  $\tau_{<d}\mathbb{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$  is isomorphic to a complex of  $k$ -vector spaces in  $D^b(S\text{-Mod})$ .

# Buchsbaum Bundle

## Theorem (Goto-Chang)

A Buchsbaum bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of sheaves of differential form, that is,  $\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{k_i}(\ell_i)$ .

## Remark

There are several proofs for the structure theorem of Buchsbaum bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ..

- S. Goto, Maximal Buchsbaum modules over regular local rings and a structure theorem for generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules, ASPM 11(1987)
- M. C. Chang, Characterization of arithmetically Buchsbaum subschemes of codimension 2 in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , J. Differential Geom. 31 (1990), 323–341.
- Horrocks Correspondence (Schenzel, Yoshino)
- Syzygy Theoretic Proof

# Horrocks Correspondence

## Question

Are there any criteria?

- Null-Correlation bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $n$  odd?
- Horrocks-Mumford bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^4$ ?

## Reference (Horrocks Correspondence)

- F. Malaspina and A. P. Rao, Horrocks correspondence on arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay varieties, Algebra Number Theory 9(2015).
- C. H. Walter, Pfaffian subschemes, J. Algebraic Geom. 5(1996).
- Y. Yoshino, Maximal Buchsbaum modules of finite projective dimension, J. Algebra 159(1993).
- F. Malaspina and C. Miyazaki, Cohomological property of vector bundles on biprojective spaces, Ric. mat. 67(2018).

## Key Lemma of Goto-Chang's Proof

## Lemma (Goto (3.5.2), Chang (1.3))

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with  $H_*^1(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ . Assume that there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is a direct sum of line bundles not being any summand of  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{p_j \geq 1} \Omega^{p_j}(k_j)$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus_{p_j \geq 1} \Omega^{p_j+1}(k_j)$ .

## Goto's Proof

## Sketch of Proof

Set  $M = \Gamma_*(\mathcal{E})$  and  $\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj } S$ , where  $S = k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ . We have only to consider the case  $M$  is not Cohen-Macaulay.

**STEP I.** Let us take a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F$  is graded free. Since  $N$  is Buchsbaum and  $\text{depth } N \geq \text{depth } M + 1$ ,  $N$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of syzygy modules  $E_j(k)$  by induction. By taking the dual sequence  $0 \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow F^* \rightarrow N^* \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Ext}_S^1(M, S) \rightarrow 0$ , we have short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow F^* \rightarrow W \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow N^* \xrightarrow{\partial} \text{Ext}_S^1(M, S) \rightarrow 0$ .

**STEP II.**  $W$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of some copies of  $E_j(\ell)$ 's. Indeed, we see that  $\partial(E_j) = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\mathfrak{m}\text{Ext}_S^1(M, S) = 0$  from the Buchsbaumness of  $M$  and the property of Koszul complex.

**STEP III.** Hence  $\widetilde{M}^*$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of sheaves of differential  $p$ -forms with some twist by Lemma, and so is  $\mathcal{E}$ .



# Chang's Proof

## Sketch of Proof

**STEP I.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a Buchsbaum vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . If  $H_*^1(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$ , there is a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$ , where  $H_*^1(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a direct sum of line bundles.

**STEP II.** The minimal generator of  $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F}^\vee)$  give a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is a sum of line bundles. Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is Buchsbaum with  $i(\mathcal{K}) = i(\mathcal{E}) - 1$ , where  $i(\mathcal{E})$  is defined as the minimal  $i$  such that  $H_*^p(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  for  $i+1 \leq p \leq n-1$ . Thus we have  $\mathcal{K}$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $\Omega^{p_j}(k_j)$ 's, and so is  $\mathcal{F}$  by Lemma.

**STEP III.** A short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0$  gives the assertion by using STEP II and the Buchsbaum property of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

# Null-Correlation Bundle

## Definition

Let  $n$  be an odd number. Let  $\mathbb{P}^n = \text{Proj } k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ . From the Euler sequence, we see that  $\Gamma(\Omega(2))$  is the kernel of  $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))^{\oplus n+1} \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2))$ .

Then  $(x_1, -x_0, x_3, -x_2, \dots, x_n, -x_{n-1}) \in \Gamma(\Omega(2))$  gives a map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \Omega(2)$ , which defines, by taking dual, a surjective morphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ .

A null-correlation bundle  $\mathcal{N}$  is defined as  $\text{Ker } \varphi$ , that is, gives a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) (\cong \Omega^{n-1}(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

## Remark

A null-correlation bundle  $\mathcal{N}$  is quasi-Buchsbaum but not Buchsbaum.

In fact, the intermediate cohomologies appear only in  $H^1(\mathcal{N}(-1)) (\cong k)$  and  $H^{n-1}(\mathcal{N}(-n)) (\cong k)$ .

# Null-Correlation Bundle

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with  $n$  odd. Assume  $H_*^1(\mathcal{E}) \cong H_*^{n-1}(\mathcal{E}) \cong k$  and  $H_*^i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq n-2$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is isomorphic to either a null-correlation bundle or a direct sum of a differential 1-form and  $(n-1)$ -form with some twist, modulo stable equivalence.

## Remark

For a null-correlation bundle  $\mathcal{E}$ , which is quasi-Buchsbaum not Buchabaum, how about Goto-Chang's proof?

- (1) In STEP II of Goto's proof,  $\partial(E_i)$  is not necessarily zero because  $\text{Ext}_S^n(k, M) \rightarrow H_m^n(M)$  is zero for an  $S$ -module  $M$  corresponding to a null-correlation bundle.
- (2) In Chang's proof,  $\mathcal{F}$  must be a direct sum of differential  $(n-1)$ -form with some twist, and then  $\mathcal{E}$  is seen to be a null-correlation bundle.

# Horrocks-Mumford bundle

## Definition

A Horrocks-Mumford bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  is defined by a monad from the following complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-1)^{\oplus 5} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}^2(2)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus 5} \rightarrow 0$$

given by  $\varphi(a_0, \dots, a_4) = (a_0 e_2 \wedge e_3 + \dots + a_4 e_1 \wedge e_2, a_0 e_1 \wedge e_4 + \dots + a_4 e_0 \wedge e_3)$ ,  
and  $\psi$  given by dual of  $\varphi$ .

## Remark

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & \mathcal{E} & \\
 & & & & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-1)^{\oplus 5} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}^2(2)^{\oplus 2} & \rightarrow & \text{Coker } \varphi \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Ker } \psi & \rightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}^2(2)^{\oplus 2} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus 5} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & \mathcal{E} & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

# Horrocks-Mumford bundle

## Remark

From the previous observation we have

- $H_*^1(\mathcal{E}) \cong \text{Coker}(\Omega_S^2(2)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\psi} S^{\oplus 5})$
- $H_*^2(\mathcal{E}) \cong H_*^2(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}^2(2)^{\oplus 2}) \cong k^{\oplus 2}$
- $H_*^3(\mathcal{E})$  is isomorphic to the dual of  $H_*^1(\mathcal{E})$ .

Here is a cohomology table of  $H^i(\mathcal{E}(\ell))$ .

	-6	-5	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
3	0	2	10	10	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	10	2	0

## Question

Find criteria for Horrocks-Mumford bundle in terms of commutative algebra.

# Syzygy Theoretic Method

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with  $H^p(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$ , where  $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ .  
If a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  has the following condition:

- (a)  $H^i(\mathcal{E}(p-i+1)) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ .
- (b)  $H^i(\mathcal{E}(p-i-1)) = 0$  for  $p \leq i \leq n-1$ ,

then  $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p$  is a direct summand of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

## Sketch of Proof

By an exact sequence arising from the Koszul complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus}(1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus}(p) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{pV} \rightarrow 0,$$

we have a surjective map  $\varphi : H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{pV}) \rightarrow H^p(\mathcal{E})$  from the cohomological condition (a).

# Syzygy Theoretic Method

## Sketch of Proof

By an exact sequence arising from the Koszul complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus}(-n) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus}(-p-1) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p \rightarrow 0,$$

we have a surjective map  $\psi : H^0(\mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p) \rightarrow H^p(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}(-n-1))$  from the cohomological condition (b).

$\exists f \in H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{p\vee})$  such that  $\varphi(f) = s (\neq 0) \in H^p(\mathcal{E})$ .

$\exists s^* \in H^{n-p}(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}(-n-1))$  corresponding to  $s \in H^p(\mathcal{E})$ .

$\exists g \in H^0(\mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p)$  such that  $\psi(g) = s^* (\neq 0) \in H^{n-p}(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}(-n-1))$ .

Now  $f$  and  $g$  are regarded as elements of  $\text{Hom}(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p, \mathcal{E})$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p)$ .

# Syzygy Theoretic Method

## Proof

From a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 f \otimes g \in H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{p\vee}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p) & \rightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 s \otimes s^* \in H^p(\mathcal{E}) \otimes H^{n-p}(\mathcal{E}^\vee(-n-1)) & \rightarrow & H^n(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n-1)),
 \end{array}$$

a natural map  $H^0(\mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{p\vee}) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n})$  yields that  $g \circ f$  is an isomorphism, which implies  $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^p$  is a direct summand of  $\mathcal{E}$ .



## Multigraded Regularity, Syzygy Theoretic Method

## Exercise

There are no vector bundles  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $X = \mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$  such that  $H^i(\mathcal{E}(l_1, l_2)) = 0$  for all  $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq m + n - 1$ .

## Theorem (Costa-Miró Roig 2005,2008; Malaspina-Miyazaki 2018)

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$  with  $H^{p+q}(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$ , where  $1 \leq p \leq m - 1$  and  $1 \leq q \leq n - 1$ .

If a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  has the following condition:

- (a)  $H^i(\mathcal{E}(a, b)) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p + q$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq p$ ,  $0 \leq b \leq q$  with  $i + a + b = p + q + 1$ .
- (b)  $H^i(\mathcal{E}(a, b)) = 0$  for  $p + q \leq i \leq m + n - 1$ ,  $p - m \leq a \leq 0$ ,  $q - n \leq b \leq 0$  with  $i + a + b = p + q - 1$ ,

then  $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^m}^p \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^q$  is a direct summand of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

## Multigraded Regularity, Syzygy Theoretic Method

## Example

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an indecomposable vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\mathcal{E} \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ .
- (b)  $H^2(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$  and  $H^1(\mathcal{E}(1, 1)) = H^2(\mathcal{E}(0, 1)) = H^2(\mathcal{E}(1, 0)) = H^2(\mathcal{E}(-1, 0)) = H^2(\mathcal{E}(0, -1)) = H^3(\mathcal{E}(-1, -1)) = 0$ .

## Example

- (1)  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is not Buchsbaum (but quasi-Buchsbaum). In this case  $H^1(\mathcal{E}(-2)) \neq 0$  and  $H^2(\mathcal{E}(-4)) \neq 0$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{E} = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2} \boxtimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$  is Buchsbaum, but  $H^1(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$  and  $H^3(\mathcal{E}(-3)) \neq 0$ .