Laver-generically large cardinals, their first order definability and some applications of the method

Sakaé Fuchino (渕野 昌)

Kobe University, Japan

https://fuchino.ddo.jp/index.html

(2021年09月14日 (00:03 JST) printer version)

2021 年 09 月 13 日 (10:30- CEST), XVIth Luminy Workshop in set theory

This presentation is typeset by upLATEX with beamer class

The most up-to-date version of these slides is downloadable as https://fuchino.ddo.jp/slides/luminy-talk-2021-09-pf.pdf

The research is supported by Kakenhi Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 20K03717

#### References

- Sakaé Fuchino, André Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues and Hiroshi Sakai, Strong Löwenheim-Skolem theorems for stationary logics, I, Archive for Mathematical Logic, Volume 60, issue 1-2, (2021), 17–47. https://fuchino.ddo.jp/papers/SDLS-x.pdf
- Sakaé Fuchino, André Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues and Hiroshi Sakai, Strong Löwenheim-Skolem theorems for stationary logics, II
   reflection down to the continuum, Archive for Mathematical Logic, Volume 60, issue 3-4, (2021), 495–523. https://fuchino.ddo.jp/papers/SDLS-II-x.pdf
- [Def] Sakaé Fuchino, and Hiroshi Sakai, The first-order definability of generic large cardinals, submitted. https://fuchino.ddo.jp/papers/definability-of-glc-x.pdf
- [König] Bernhard König, Generic compactness reformulated, Archive for Mathematical Logic 43, (2004), 311–326.

Existence of Laver-generically supercompact cardinals

First-order def.bility (3/10)

- ► We consider the following "axioms".
- $\label{eq:suppose that $\mathcal{P}$ is an iterable class of p.o.s. I.e. $\mathcal{P}$ is a class (property) s.t. all $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ are p.o.s, $\mathcal{P}$ is closed w.r.t. restriction; and if $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathbb{Q} \in V^{\mathbb{P}}$ with $\| \vdash_{\mathbb{P}}^{``} \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}$, then $\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}$.}$
- LGSC ( $\mathcal{P}$ ): there is a cardinal  $\kappa$  s.t. for any  $\lambda \ge \kappa$  and for any  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ , there is  $\mathbb{Q} \in V^{\mathbb{P}}$  s.t.  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}^{"} \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}^{"}$  and  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}*\mathbb{Q}}^{"}$  there is  $j: V \xrightarrow{\leq} M \subseteq V^{\mathbb{P}*\mathbb{Q}}$  s.t.  $crit(j) = \kappa, \ j(\kappa) > \lambda, \ \mathbb{P}, \underbrace{\mathbb{H}} \in M, \ j''\lambda \in M.$ "
- $\triangleright$  If LGSC ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) holds, then the  $\kappa$  as in the definition above is called the Laver-generically (L-g for short) supercompact cardinal for  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- $\triangleright$  Actually, under LGSC ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) for most  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\kappa$  as above is unique.

## Background

- ► Strong reflection principles decide the size of the continuum.
- $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \end{bmatrix}$  Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem for the stationary logic down to  $< 2^{\aleph_0}$  for elementarity w.r.t. first- and second-order parameters (SDLS( $\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_0}, < \aleph_2$ ) in the notation of [I]) implies  $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$ . - S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [I].
- $\begin{bmatrix} \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{tabular}{ll} Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem for the stationary logic down to < 2^{\aleph_0} for elementarity w.r.t. only first-order parameters (SDLS<sup>-</sup>(<math>\mathcal{L}^{\aleph_0}_{stat}, < 2^{\aleph_0}$ ) in the notation of [I]) implies  $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$ . — S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [II].
- [ $\gamma$ ] Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem for a  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\lambda)$  version of stationary logic down to  $< 2^{\aleph_0}$  (SDLS<sup>*int*</sup><sub>+</sub>( $\mathcal{L}^{PKL}_{stat}, < 2^{\aleph_0}$ ) in the notation of [II]) implies that the continuum is very large (e.g. wealky hyper Mahlo and more).

- S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [II].

Background (2/3) —  $[\delta]$  replaces  $[\alpha]$ 

•  $[\alpha]$  can be seen as a consequence of the following  $[\delta]$ :

[ $\delta$ ] Game Reflection Principle ( $\Leftrightarrow \omega_2$  is generically supercompact by  $\sigma$ -closed forcing)

implies CH (i.e.  $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$ ).

- B.König [König].

 $\begin{bmatrix} \beta \end{bmatrix} \text{ Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem for the stationary logic} \\ \text{down to } < 2^{\aleph_0} \text{ for elementarity w.r.t. only first-order parameters} \\ (\text{SDLS}^-(\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_0}, < 2^{\aleph_0}) \text{ in the notation of [I]}) \text{ implies } 2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2. \\ - \text{S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [II]}.$ 

[ $\gamma$ ] Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem for a  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\lambda)$  version of stationary logic down to  $< 2^{\aleph_0}$  (SDLS<sup>*int*</sup><sub>+</sub>( $\mathcal{L}^{PKL}_{stat}, < 2^{\aleph_0}$ ) in the notation of [II]) implies that the continuum is very large (e.g. wealky hyper Mahlo and more).

- S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [II].

The scenarios of refl. principles are covered by instances of LGSC First-order def.bility (6/10)

Theorem 1 (S.F, A. O.M.Rodrigues., and H.Sakai [II])

- $[\delta^+]$  LGSC ( $\sigma$ -closed) implies that the Laver generic  $\kappa = \aleph_2$ and CH holds.
- [ $\varepsilon$ ] LGSC (proper) implies that the Laver generic  $\kappa = \aleph_2$ and  $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$  holds.

[ $\zeta$ ] LGSC (ccc) implies that the Laver generic  $\kappa$  is extremely large and  $\kappa \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$  holds.

With a slight strengthening of LGSC (ccc), we obtain  $\kappa = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

The scenarios of refl. principles are covered by instances of LGSC (2/2)First-order def.bility (7/10)

Theorem 2 (S.F, A. O.M.Rodrigues., and H.Sakai [II])

 $[\delta^+]$  LGSC ( $\sigma$ -closed) implies Game Reflection Principle and MA<sup>+ $\omega_1$ </sup>( $\sigma$ -closed).

[ $\varepsilon$ ] LGSC (proper) implies MA<sup>+ $\omega_1$ </sup>(proper). In particular, this implies SDLS<sup>-</sup>( $\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_0}, < \aleph_2$ ).

 $\begin{bmatrix} \zeta \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathsf{LGSC}(\mathsf{ccc}) \text{ with the assertion that the Lever generic } \kappa \text{ is } 2^{\aleph_0} \\ \text{implies } \mathsf{MA}^{+\mu}(\mathsf{ccc}) \text{ for all } \mu < 2^{\aleph_0} \text{ and } \mathsf{SDLS}^{int}_+(\mathcal{L}^{\mathsf{PKL}}_{stat}, < 2^{\aleph_0}).$ 

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへつ

## LGSC is frist-order formalizable

► If LGSC (P) should be treated as a new axiom to be added to ZFC, it should be formalizable in the language of ZFC.

**Theorem 3.** (S.F., and H.Sakai [Def]) Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  is an iterable class of p.o.s. Then the following are equivalent: (a)  $\kappa$  is L-g supercompact for  $\mathcal{P}$  (so LGSC ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) holds). (b) For any  $\lambda$ , and for any  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ , there is a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} " \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P} "$  s.t.  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}*\mathbb{Q}}$  "there are a regular cardinal  $\theta > \kappa, \lambda$ , a transitive set N, and a mapping  $i_0$  s.t. (1)  $j_0: \mathcal{H}(\theta)^{\mathsf{V}} \xrightarrow{\leq} \mathsf{N},$  (2)  $\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{H}(\theta)^{\mathsf{V}},$ (3)  $\operatorname{crit}(j_0) = \kappa, \ j_0(\kappa) > \lambda,$ (4) for any  $b \in N$ , there is  $a \in \mathcal{H}(\theta)^{\vee}$  s.t.  $b \in i_0(a)$ (5)  $\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{H} \in N$ , and (6)  $j_0 "\lambda \in N$ ".

(ロ) (個) (目) (目) (目) (の)()

A sketch of the proof of "(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a)"

- ▶ We imitate the ultraproduct construction: Assume that (b) holds.
- $\,\vartriangleright\,$  Let  $\mathbb H$  be a (V,  $\mathbb P*\mathbb Q)\text{-generic filter.}$  Working in V[ $\mathbb H$ ], let
  - $\mathcal{F} := \{ f \in \mathsf{V} : f : \operatorname{dom}(f) \to \mathsf{V}, \operatorname{dom}(f) \in \mathcal{H}(\theta)^{\mathsf{V}} \}$ , and
  - $\Pi := \{ \langle f, a \rangle : f \in \mathcal{F}, a \in j_0(\operatorname{dom}(f)) \}.$

For  $\langle f, a \rangle$ ,  $\langle g, b \rangle \in \Pi$ , let

•  $\langle f, a \rangle \sim \langle g, b \rangle : \Leftrightarrow \langle a, b \rangle \in j_0(S_{f(x)=g(y)})$ , where  $S_{f(x)=g(y)} := \{ \langle u, v \rangle : u \in \text{dom}(f), v \in \text{dom}(g), f(u) = g(v) \};$ 

and

- $\langle f, a \rangle \in \langle g, b \rangle :\Leftrightarrow \langle a, b \rangle \in j_0(S_{f(x) \in g(y)})$ , where  $S_{f(x) \in g(y)} := \{ \langle u, v \rangle : u \in \operatorname{dom}(f), v \in \operatorname{dom}(g), f(u) \in g(v) \}.$
- I) ~ is a congruent relation to E; Let *t̃<sub>u</sub>* : {∅} → {u} for u ∈ V, then ② i : V → Π/~; u ↦ ⟨*t̃<sub>u</sub>*, ∅⟩/~ is an elementary embedding;
  ③ (Π/~, E/~) is well-founded and set-like; and ④ The Mostowski collapse M of (Π/~, E/~) together with the canonical embedding j of V into M is as desired. □

Je vous remercie de votre attention. ご清聴ありがとうございました. Thank you for your attention!

## $(\Pi/\sim, E/\sim)$ is well-founded

Suppose not and let  $\langle f_n, b_n \rangle \in \Pi$ ,  $n \in \omega$  (in  $V[\mathbb{H}]$ ) be s.t.

 $\langle f_0, b_0 \rangle \exists \langle f_1, b_1 \rangle \exists \langle f_2, b_2 \rangle \exists \cdots$ 

Let  $f_n$ ,  $n \in \omega$  be  $\mathbb{P}$ -names of  $f_n$ ,  $n \in \omega$  (note that we can choose  $f_n$ ,  $n \in \omega$  s.t.  $\langle f_n : n \in \omega \rangle \in V$  and  $\| \vdash_{\mathbb{P}} ``f_n \in V"$  for each  $n \in \omega$ ), and let

 $\mathcal{Q} := \{ \langle \mathbb{p}, n, u \rangle : \mathbb{p} \in \mathbb{P}, n \in \omega, u \in \mathcal{H}(\theta)^{\mathsf{V}}, \\ \mathbb{p} \text{ decides } \underline{f}_n, \text{ and } \mathbb{p} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} ``u \varepsilon \operatorname{dom}(\underline{f}_n)" \}.$ Since  $\theta$  is regular,  $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{H}(\theta)^{\mathsf{V}}$ . For  $\langle \mathbb{p}_0, n_0, u_0 \rangle$ ,  $\langle \mathbb{p}_1, n_1, u_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{Q}$ , let  $\langle \mathbb{p}_0, n_0, u_0 \rangle \sqsubset \langle \mathbb{p}_1, n_1, u_1 \rangle :\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{p}_0 \leq_{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{p}_1, n_0 = n_1 + 1, \\ \operatorname{and} \mathbb{p}_0 \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} ``f_{n_0}(u_0) \varepsilon f_{n_1}(u_1)".$ 

# $(\Pi/\sim, E/\sim)$ is well-founded (2/2)

In V[H], let  $\langle \mathbb{P}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  be a descending sequence in H w.r.t.  $\leq_{\mathbb{P}}$ s.t. each  $\mathbb{D}_n$  decides  $f_n$  to be  $f_n$ . Then  $\langle (j_0(\mathbb{p}_n), n, b_n \rangle : n \in \omega \rangle$  is a descending chain in  $j_0(\langle Q, \Box \rangle)$ w.r.t.  $i_0(\Box)$ . Since V[H] can see the sequence  $\langle \mathbb{D}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ , we have  $V[\mathbb{H}] \models i_0(\langle Q, \Box \rangle)$  is not well-founded". Since being well-founded is  $\Delta_1$ , it follows that  $N \models ij_0(\langle Q, \Box \rangle)$  is not well-founded". By elementarity, it follows that  $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^{\mathsf{V}} \models \langle \mathcal{Q}, \Box \rangle$  is not well-founded". However, if  $\langle \langle \mathbb{Q}_n, k_n, u_n \rangle : n \in \omega \rangle$  is a descending chain in  $\langle \mathcal{Q}, \Box \rangle$ , then we would have

 $g_{k_0}(u_0) \ni g_{k_1}(u_1) \ni g_{k_2}(u_2) \ni \cdots$ where  $g_{k_n}$ , for each  $n \in \omega$ , is the element of  $\mathcal{F}$  which is decided to be  $f_{k_n}$  by  $\mathbb{P}_n$ . This is a contradiction.

(日) (型) (目) (目) (日) (の)

Back

#### Game Reflection Principle and SDLS

The Game Reflection Principle in [δ] implies The Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem in [α] for stationary logic down to <ℵ<sub>2</sub> SDLS(L<sup>ℵ<sub>0</sub></sup><sub>stat</sub>, <ℵ<sub>2</sub>).

- S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [I].

- ▷  $SDLS^{-}(\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_{0}}, < \aleph_{2})$  is equivalent to Diagonal Reflection Principle (for internally clubness) of S. Cox [Cox].
- $\triangleright \ \ \mathsf{SDLS}(\mathcal{L}^{\aleph_0}_{stat},<\aleph_2) \ \ \mathsf{is equivalent to CH} + \ \mathsf{Diagonal Reflection} \\ \mathsf{Principle} \ (\mathsf{for internally clubness}) \ \mathsf{of S. Cox} \ [\mathsf{Cox}].$

- S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [I].

[Cox] Sean Cox, The diagonal reflection principle, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol.140, No.8 (2012), 2893-2902.

Back to 5/10