

The first-order definability of generic and Laver-generic large cardinals

Sakaé Fuchino (渚野 昌)

Kobe University, Japan

<https://fuchino.ddo.jp/index.html>

(2021 年 09 月 17 日 (08:03 JST) printer version)

2021 年 09 月 17 日 (09:50 JST), 日本数学会秋季総合分科会
於 (virtually) 千葉大学

This presentation is typeset by $\text{up}\LaTeX$ with beamer class, and given on UP2 Version 2.0.0 by Ayumu Inoue on ipad pro (10.5inch).

The most up-to-date version of these slides is downloadable as

<https://fuchino.ddo.jp/slides/mathsoc-jp-2021-09-pf.pdf>

The research is supported by
Kakenhi Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 20K03717

The first-order definability of generic and Laver-generic large cardinals First-order def.bility (2/11)

The main result in this talk was obtained in a joint work with 酒井 拓史 (Hiroshi, SAKAI).

The result stands in the context of a joint research done together with André Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and Hiroshi Sakai.

This presentation is typeset by $\text{up}\text{L}^{\text{A}}\text{T}\text{E}\text{X}$ with `beamer` class, and given on `UP2 Version 2.0.0` by Ayumu Inoue on ipad pro (10.5inch).

The most up-to-date version of these slides is downloadable as <https://fuchino.ddo.jp/slides/mathsoc-jp-2021-09-pf.pdf>

The research is supported by
Kakenhi Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 20K03717

- [I] Sakaé Fuchino, André Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues and Hiroshi Sakai, [Strong Löwenheim-Skolem theorems for stationary logics, I](#), Archive for Mathematical Logic, Volume 60, issue 1-2, (2021), 17–47.
<https://fuchino.ddo.jp/papers/SDLS-x.pdf>
- [II] Sakaé Fuchino, André Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues and Hiroshi Sakai, [Strong Löwenheim-Skolem theorems for stationary logics, II — reflection down to the continuum](#), Archive for Mathematical Logic, Volume 60, issue 3-4, (2021), 495–523. <https://fuchino.ddo.jp/papers/SDLS-II-x.pdf>
- [Def] Sakaé Fuchino, and Hiroshi Sakai, [The first-order definability of generic large cardinals](#), submitted.
<https://fuchino.ddo.jp/papers/definability-of-glc-x.pdf>
- [Def II] Sakaé Fuchino, and Hiroshi Sakai, [The first-order definability of generic large cardinals II](#), in preparation.
- * * *
- [Cox] Sean Cox, [The diagonal reflection principle](#), Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol.140, No.8 (2012), 2893-2902.
- [König] Bernhard König, [Generic compactness reformulated](#), Archive for Mathematical Logic 43, (2004), 311–326.

Existence of Laver-generically supercompact cardinals

First-order def.bility (4/11)

- ▶ The following “axioms” are introduced in [I]:
- ▷ Suppose that \mathcal{P} is an **iterable** class of p.o.s. I.e. \mathcal{P} is a class (property) s.t. all $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ are p.o.s, \mathcal{P} is closed w.r.t. restriction; and if $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathbb{Q} \in V^{\mathbb{P}}$ with $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \text{“}\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}\text{”}$, then $\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}$.

LGSC (\mathcal{P}): there is a cardinal κ s.t. for any $\lambda \geq \kappa$ and for any $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$, there is $\mathbb{Q} \in V^{\mathbb{P}}$ s.t. $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \text{“}\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}\text{”}$ and

$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q}} \text{“there is } j : V \overset{\sim}{\rightarrow} M \subseteq V^{\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q}} \text{ s.t.}$
 $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa, j(\kappa) > \lambda, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{H} \in M, j''\lambda \in M.\text{”}$

- ▷ If **LGSC** (\mathcal{P}) holds, then the κ as in the definition above is called the **Laver-generically** (L-g for short) **supercompact cardinal for \mathcal{P}** .
- ▷ Actually, under **LGSC** (\mathcal{P}) for most \mathcal{P} , κ as above is unique.

- ▶ Strong reflection principles decide the size of the continuum.

[α] Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem for the stationary logic down to $< 2^{\aleph_0}$ for elementarity w.r.t. first- and second-order parameters (SDLS($\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_0}, < \aleph_2$) in the notation of [I]) implies $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$.

— S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [I].

[β] Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem for the stationary logic down to $< 2^{\aleph_0}$ for elementarity w.r.t. only first-order parameters (SDLS⁻($\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_0}, < 2^{\aleph_0}$) in the notation of [I]) implies $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$.

— S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [II].

[γ] Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem for a $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\lambda)$ version of stationary logic down to $< 2^{\aleph_0}$ (SDLS₊^{int}($\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{PKL}, < 2^{\aleph_0}$) in the notation of [II]) implies that the continuum is very large (e.g. weakly hyper Mahlo and more).

— S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [III].

Background (2/2) — $[\delta]$ replaces $[\alpha]$

First-order def.bility (6/11)

► $[\alpha]$ can be seen as a consequence of the following $[\delta]$:

$[\delta]$ **Game Reflection Principle** ($\Leftrightarrow \omega_2$ is generically supercompact by σ -closed forcing)
implies CH (i.e. $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$).

— B.König [König].

$[\beta]$ **Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem for the stationary logic down to $< 2^{\aleph_0}$ for elementarity w.r.t. only first-order parameters** (SDLS $^-$ ($\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_0}$, $< 2^{\aleph_0}$) in the notation of [I]) implies $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$.
— S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [II].

$[\gamma]$ **Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem for a $\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\lambda)$ version of stationary logic down to $< 2^{\aleph_0}$** (SDLS $_+^{int}$ (\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{PKL} , $< 2^{\aleph_0}$) in the notation of [II]) implies that **the continuum is very large** (e.g. weakly hyper Mahlo and more).
— S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [II].

Theorem 1 (S.F, A. O.M.Rodrigues., and H.Sakai [II])

$[\delta^+]$ **LGSC (σ -closed)** implies that the Laver generic $\kappa = \aleph_2$
and **CH** holds.

$[\varepsilon]$ **LGSC (proper)** implies that the Laver generic $\kappa = \aleph_2$
and **$2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_2$** holds.

$[\zeta]$ **LGSC (ccc)** implies that the Laver generic κ is **extremely large**
and $\kappa \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ holds.

With a slight strengthening of **LGSC (ccc)**, we obtain $\kappa = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Theorem 2 (S.F, A. O.M.Rodrigues., and H.Sakai [II])

- $[\delta^+]$ **LGSC (σ -closed)** implies Game Reflection Principle of [König], and $MA^{+\omega_1}(\sigma\text{-closed})$.
- $[\varepsilon]$ **LGSC (proper)** implies $MA^{+\omega_1}(\text{proper})$. In particular, this implies $SDLS^-(\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_0}, < 2^{\aleph_0})$.
- $[\zeta]$ **LGSC (ccc)** with the assertion that the Lever generic κ is 2^{\aleph_0} implies $MA^{+\mu}(\text{ccc})$ for all $\mu < 2^{\aleph_0}$ and $SDLS_+^{int}(\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{PKL}, < 2^{\aleph_0})$.

LGSC is first-order formalizable

- If $\text{LGSC}(\mathcal{P})$ should be treated as a new axiom to be added to ZFC, it should be formalizable in the language of ZFC.

Theorem 3. (S.F., and H.Sakai [Def]) Suppose that \mathcal{P} is an iterable class of p.o.s. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) κ is L-g supercompact for \mathcal{P} (so $\text{LGSC}(\mathcal{P})$ holds).
- (b) For any λ , and for any $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}$, there is a \mathbb{P} -name \mathbb{Q} with $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \text{“}\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{P}\text{”}$ s.t.

$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q}} \text{“there are a regular cardinal } \theta > \kappa, \lambda, \text{ a transitive set } N, \text{ and a mapping } j_0 \text{ s.t.}$

- (1) $j_0 : \mathcal{H}(\theta)^V \xrightarrow{\cong} N$, (2) $\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{H}(\theta)^V$,
- (3) $\text{crit}(j_0) = \kappa, j_0(\kappa) > \lambda$,
- (4) for any $b \in N$, there is $a \in \mathcal{H}(\theta)^V$ s.t. $b \in j_0(a)$
- (5) $\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{H} \in N$, and (6) $j_0''\lambda \in N$ ”.

A sketch of the proof of “(b) \Rightarrow (a)”

- ▶ We imitate the ultraproduct construction: Assume that (b) holds.
- ▷ Let \mathbb{H} be a $(V, \mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q})$ -generic filter. Working in $V[\mathbb{H}]$, let

- $\mathcal{F} := \{f \in V : f : \text{dom}(f) \rightarrow V, \text{dom}(f) \in \mathcal{H}(\theta)^V\}$, and
- $\Pi := \{\langle f, a \rangle : f \in \mathcal{F}, a \in j_0(\text{dom}(f))\}$.

For $\langle f, a \rangle, \langle g, b \rangle \in \Pi$, let

- $\langle f, a \rangle \sim \langle g, b \rangle \iff \langle a, b \rangle \in j_0(S_{f(x)=g(y)})$, where
 $S_{f(x)=g(y)} := \{\langle u, v \rangle : u \in \text{dom}(f), v \in \text{dom}(g), f(u) = g(v)\}$;

and

- $\langle f, a \rangle E \langle g, b \rangle \iff \langle a, b \rangle \in j_0(S_{f(x) \varepsilon g(y)})$, where
 $S_{f(x) \varepsilon g(y)} := \{\langle u, v \rangle : u \in \text{dom}(f), v \in \text{dom}(g), f(u) \in g(v)\}$.

- ▶ ① \sim is a congruent relation to E ; Let $\check{f}_u : \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow \{u\}$ for $u \in V$, then ② $i : V \rightarrow \Pi/\sim; u \mapsto \langle \check{f}_u, \emptyset \rangle/\sim$ is an elementary embedding;
- ③ $(\Pi/\sim, E/\sim)$ is well-founded and set-like; and ④ The Mostowski collapse M of $(\Pi/\sim, E/\sim)$ together with the canonical embedding j of V into M is as desired. \square

ご清聴ありがとうございました。
Thank you for your attention!



$(\Pi/\sim, E/\sim)$ is well-founded

Suppose not and let $\langle f_n, b_n \rangle \in \Pi$, $n \in \omega$ (in $V[\mathbb{H}]$) be s.t.

$$\langle f_0, b_0 \rangle \exists \langle f_1, b_1 \rangle \exists \langle f_2, b_2 \rangle \exists \dots$$

Let \tilde{f}_n , $n \in \omega$ be \mathbb{P} -names of f_n , $n \in \omega$ (note that we can choose \tilde{f}_n , $n \in \omega$ s.t. $\langle \tilde{f}_n : n \in \omega \rangle \in V$ and $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \tilde{f}_n \in V$ for each $n \in \omega$), and let

$$\mathcal{Q} := \{ \langle \mathbb{P}, n, u \rangle : \mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{P}, n \in \omega, u \in \mathcal{H}(\theta)^V, \\ \mathbb{P} \text{ decides } \tilde{f}_n, \text{ and } \mathbb{P} \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} "u \in \text{dom}(\tilde{f}_n)" \}.$$

Since θ is regular, $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{H}(\theta)^V$.

For $\langle \mathbb{P}_0, n_0, u_0 \rangle, \langle \mathbb{P}_1, n_1, u_1 \rangle \in \mathcal{Q}$, let

$$\langle \mathbb{P}_0, n_0, u_0 \rangle \sqsubset \langle \mathbb{P}_1, n_1, u_1 \rangle : \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}_0 \leq_{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{P}_1, \quad n_0 = n_1 + 1, \\ \text{and } \mathbb{P}_0 \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \tilde{f}_{n_0}(u_0) \in \tilde{f}_{n_1}(u_1).$$

$(\Pi/\sim, E/\sim)$ is well-founded (2/2)

In $V[\mathbb{H}]$, let $\langle \mathbb{P}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a descending sequence in \mathbb{H} w.r.t. $\leq_{\mathbb{P}}$ s.t. each \mathbb{P}_n decides $\underset{\sim}{f}_n$ to be f_n .

Then $\langle \langle j_0(\mathbb{P}_n), n, b_n \rangle : n \in \omega \rangle$ is a descending chain in $j_0(\langle \mathcal{Q}, \square \rangle)$ w.r.t. $j_0(\square)$.

Since $V[\mathbb{H}]$ can see the sequence $\langle \mathbb{P}_n : n \in \omega \rangle$, we have $V[\mathbb{H}] \models$ “ $j_0(\langle \mathcal{Q}, \square \rangle)$ is not well-founded”.

Since being well-founded is Δ_1 , it follows that

$N \models$ “ $j_0(\langle \mathcal{Q}, \square \rangle)$ is not well-founded”. By elementarity, it follows that $\mathcal{H}(\theta)^V \models$ “ $\langle \mathcal{Q}, \square \rangle$ is not well-founded”.

However, if $\langle \langle q_n, k_n, u_n \rangle : n \in \omega \rangle$ is a descending chain in $\langle \mathcal{Q}, \square \rangle$, then we would have

$$g_{k_0}(u_0) \ni g_{k_1}(u_1) \ni g_{k_2}(u_2) \ni \dots$$

where g_{k_n} , for each $n \in \omega$, is the element of \mathcal{F} which is decided to be $\underset{\sim}{f}_{k_n}$ by \mathbb{P}_n . This is a contradiction.

Game Reflection Principle and SDLS

- ▶ The Game Reflection Principle in $[\delta]$ implies The Downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem in $[\alpha]$ for stationary logic down to $< \aleph_2$ $\text{SDLS}(\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_0}, < \aleph_2)$.
— S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [1].
- ▷ $\text{SDLS}^-(\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_0}, < \aleph_2)$ is equivalent to **Diagonal Reflection Principle** (for internally clubness) of S. Cox [Cox].
- ▷ $\text{SDLS}(\mathcal{L}_{stat}^{\aleph_0}, < \aleph_2)$ is equivalent to **CH + Diagonal Reflection Principle** (for internally clubness) of S. Cox [Cox].
— S.F., A.Ottenbreit Maschio Rodrigues, and H.Sakai [1].

[Cox] Sean Cox, The diagonal reflection principle, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol.140, No.8 (2012), 2893-2902.